contented or paid (altho' so to do the said Daniel was afterwards to wit on the day and year last aforesaid and often afterwards at the county aforesaid by him the said James requested, but the same sum of sterling money or the value thereof as aforesaid to the said James to content or pay the said Daniel hath altogether refused and still doth refuse to the damage of the said James in the sum of one thousand pounds current money and therefore he brings suit and so forth

W. Cooke for Pltff pledges and so forth { John Doe and Richard Roe

£356..19..10. Baltimore 30th June 1798. Twenty one months after date we promise to pay Daniel Grant or order three hundred and fifty six pounds nineteen shillings and ten pence sterling value received

Endorsed Danl. Grant Hacket & Grant

At which mentioned second tuesday of May being the thirteenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and the day of the return of the aforegoing writ comes into the General Court here the aforesaid James Philips by William Cooke his attorney aforesaid and the Sheriff of Baltimore county aforesaid to wit Cornelius H. Gist Gentleman to whom the said aforegoing writ was in form aforesaid directed makes return thereof to the court here thus endorsed to wit

"N.E. copy left 2d May Cornelius H. Gist Shff

And afterwards to wit on twenty seventh day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred the said James Philips by his attorney afsd again sued out of the General court the writ of the State of Maryland of alias capias ad respondendum directed to the Sheriff of the county aforesaid in the words and figures following to wit. Maryland Sc. The State of -- Maryland Sc. To the Sheriff of Baltimore county greeting You are hereby commanded to take Daniel Grant late of Baltimore county Merchant if he shall be found in your bailiwick and him safe keep so that you have his body before the general court to be held at the city of Annapolis on the second tuesday of October next to answer unto James Philips in a plea of -- trespass upon the case and so forth. Hereof fail not at your peril and have you then and there this writ. Witness the Honourable Jeremiah Townley Chase Esq. chief Judge of the said court the thirteenth day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred

Issued the 27th day of June 1800 (WC). Jno. Givinn clk

A copy of which aforegoing Declaration and Copy of note was made and sent with the writ aforesaid which issued last aforesaid in the plea aforesaid directed to the Sheriff of the county aforesaid, and a Duplicate of the said aforegoing writ

Declaration and note was also made and sent to the Sheriff of Allegany county

At which mentioned second tuesday of October being the fourteenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and the day of the return of the last aforegoing writ comes into the General court here the aforesaid James Philips by his attorney aforesaid, and the Sheriff of Baltimore county aforesaid to wit Cornelius H. Gist Gentleman to whom the said aforegoing writ was in form aforesaid directed makes return thereof to the court here thus endorsed to wit

"N.E. Copy left 14th July Corns. H. Gist Shff.

And the Sheriff of Allegany county, to wit Robert Sinclair Gentleman to whom a ---- Duplicate of the said last aforegoing writ was in form aforesaid directed makes return thereof to the court here thus endorsed to wit.

"Sepa. copy left 4th Octr. Robt. Sinclair Shff

And the said Daniel Grant by Walter Dorsey his attorney appears in court here and thereupon on motion of the aforesaid James Philips by his attorney aforesaid. It is ruled by the court here that the said Daniel Grant answer to the Declaration aforesaid of the aforesaid James Philips in the plea aforesaid or Judgment by the court here will be entered against him in default thereof. and the said Daniel Grant by his attorney aforesaid defends the force and injury when and so forth and prays leave of the court here to Imparle until the second tuesday of May next and then to answer to the declaration aforesaid of the aforesaid James Philips in the plea afsd and to him it is granted the same day is given to the aforesaid James Philips also. ~

And now at this day to wit the said second tuesday of May being the twelfth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and one to which said day the said Daniel Grant had leave to Imparle and then to answer to the declaration aforesaid of the aforesaid James Philips in the plea aforesaid come again into the General court here, as well the said James Philips by his attorney aforesaid as the said Daniel Grant by his attorney aforesaid. Nevertheless the said Daniel Grant by his attorney aforesaid says that he cannot deny the action aforesaid of the afsd James Philips so as aforesaid against him brought nor but that the said James Philips hath sustained damage to the sum of one thousand pounds current money by occasion of the non performance of the promise and assumption aforesaid in manner and form as the aforesaid James Philips above against him hath declared

Therefore it is considered by the court here that the said James Philips recover against the said Daniel Grant as well the sum of one thousand pounds current money his damages aforesaid in form aforesaid confessed as also the quantity of eight hundred and thirty three pounds of tobacco by the court here unto him on his afsmt adjudged for his costs and charges by him about his suit in this behalf laid out