in the year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety eight.
Issued the 16th day of March 1798. — John Irwin Clerk. —
J. R. Wilmer, atty for the Plff. P. B. Key, atty for the Deft —
And thereupon the same day is given to the parties aforesaid, then and there, and so forth. —

At which said second tuesday of May being the Eighth day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety eight, and the day of the return of the aforegoing warrant of Resurvey, come again into the General Court here, as well the said Philip Tille lessee of the said John Chew Thomas and Mary his wife by his attorney aforesaid, as the said John Groves by his attorney aforesaid: and the Sheriff and Surveyor of Prince Georges County aforesaid, to whom the said warrant of Resurvey was in form aforesaid directed, make return thereof to the Court here that they had in virtue of the said warrant of Resurvey proceeded to execute the same, but that they had not been able fully to complete the said survey as by the said warrant of Resurvey they are commanded and so forth. — Whereupon the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid pray leave of the Court here to complete the said survey under the warrant of Resurvey aforesaid by the second tuesday of October next, and to them it is granted; and the same day is given to the said parties, then and there and so forth. —

At which said second tuesday of October, being the ninth day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety eight, and the day of the return of the said aforegoing warrant of Resurvey, come again into the General Court here, as well the said Philip Tille lessee of the said John Chew Thomas and Mary his wife by his attorney aforesaid, as the said John Groves by his attorney aforesaid: and the Sheriff and Surveyor of Prince Georges County aforesaid, to whom the said warrant of Resurvey was in form aforesaid directed, and under which leave was given to complete the survey, make return thereof to the Court here, that they had in virtue of the said warrant of Resurvey proceeded to execute the same, but that they had not been able fully to complete the said survey as by the said warrant of Resurvey they are commanded and so forth. — Whereupon the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid pray leave of the Court here to complete the said survey under the warrant of Resurvey aforesaid, by the second tuesday of May next, and to them it is granted, and the same day is given to the said parties then and there and so forth. —

At which said second tuesday of May being the fourteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety nine, and the day of the return of the said aforegoing warrant of Resurvey, come again into the General Court here, as well the said Philip Tille lessee of the said John Chew Thomas and Mary his wife by Arthur Shaff his attorney, as the said John Groves by his attorney aforesaid: and the Sheriff and Surveyor of Prince Georges County aforesaid, to whom the said warrant of Resurvey was in form aforesaid directed, and under which leave was given

to complete the survey, make return thereof to the Court here, that they had in virtue of the said warrant of Resurvey proceeded to execute the same, but that they had not been able fully to complete the said survey as by the said warrant of Resurvey they are commanded, and so forth. Whereupon the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid pray leave of the Court here to complete the said survey under the warrant of Resurvey aforesaid by the second tuesday of October next, and to them it is granted; and thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid, and by order of the Court here thereon is continued until the said second tuesday of October next. —

At which said second tuesday of October, being the Eighth day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and ninety nine, and the day of the return of the said aforegoing warrant of Resurvey, come again into the General Court here, as well the said Philip Tille lessee of the said John Chew Thomas and Mary his wife by his attorney aforesaid, as the said John Groves by his attorney aforesaid: and the sheriff and Surveyor of Prince Georges County aforesaid, to whom the said warrant of Resurvey was in form aforesaid directed, and under which leave was given to complete the survey, make return thereof to the Court here, that they had in virtue of the said warrant of Resurvey proceeded to execute the same, but that they had not been able fully to complete the said survey as by the said warrant they are commanded, and so forth. Whereupon the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid pray leave of the Court here to complete the said survey under the warrant of Resurvey aforesaid, by the second tuesday of May next, and to them it is granted: And thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid and by order of the Court here thereon is further continued until the said second tuesday of May next. —

At which said second tuesday of May being the thirteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred, and the day of the return of the said aforegoing warrant of Resurvey, come again into the General Court here as well the said Philip Tille lessee of the said John Chew Thomas and Mary his wife by his attorney aforesaid, as the said John Groves by his attorney aforesaid: and the sheriff and Surveyor of Prince Georges County aforesaid to whom the said warrant of Resurvey was in form aforesaid directed, and under which leave was given to complete the survey, make return thereof to the Court here that they had in virtue of the said warrant of Resurvey proceeded to execute the same, but that they had not been able fully to complete the said survey as by the said warrant of Resurvey they are commanded, and so forth. — Whereupon the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid move the Court here, that the whole matter in the premises between them in variance may be referred according to the