said James B Clarke by Arthur Shaaff and John Thomson Mason his attornies, as the said James Ray by Luther Martin, Robert Goodloe Harper and Thomas Buchanan his attornies: Whereupon, for trying the Issues aforesaid, It is ordered by the Court here, that twenty persons, from the pannel of Petit Jurors returned to the Court here by the several Sheriffs of the different Counties of the Western Shore, be drawn by ballot, according to the act of Assembly in such case made and provided; And thereupon the twenty persons, being so drawn by ballot, and written upon two Lists, one of which said lists is delivered to the Counsel for the respective parties, and the Counsel for each of the said parties having stricken out, four persons from the said lists, thereupon the remaining twelve persons being called come: that is to say, Richard Tasker Lowndes, Nicholas White, David Harry, John M'Comas of John James M'Neall, Francis Franklin, Gassaway W. Harwood, John Boyd Watkins, Edward Diggs, Robert Welch, William Jenings and William Chandler Brent, who to say the truth in the premises, being elected, tried, empannelled and sworn: upon which, for certain reasons as well to the Court here as to the parties aforesaid appearing, the said William Chandler Brent, one of the Jurors of the Jury within written, from the pannel aforesaid is withdrawn by consent of the said parties and wholly discharged from giving any verdict of and upon the premises aforesaid: And because there is not a full pannel of the Jurors aforesaid so returned to the Court here by the several Sheriffs of the different Counties as aforesaid, therefore a person from the By-standers, by the Sheriff of the County of Anne Arundel, elected, at the request, and by consent of the said parties and by command of the Court here, is added a-new to fill up and complete the said pannel whose name to the pannel within mentioned is annexed by and with the consent of the parties aforesaid; and the Juror so added anew; that is to say, Richard Dorsey, being called, likewise comes, who to say the truth of the premises within contained, together with the other Jurors aforesaid first empannelled, sworn and retained, being elected, tried and sworn, on their oath do say, as to the first Issue, that James Ray was on the third day of January Eighteen hundred and one residing within the United States, to wit, in Prince Georges County in the State of Maryland, using the trade of Merchandize by buying and selling in Gross and by retail: And the Jurors aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid do further say, as to the second Issue, that the said James Ray was arrested under a Capias ad satisfaciendum issued against him out of the General Court for the Western Shore by James Barry, on the

Eighth day of January Eighteen hundred and one, and not before, he then using the trade of Merchandize by buying and selling in Gross and by retail: — And the Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further say, as to the third Issue, that James Ray so using the trade of Merchandize on the thirty first day of July Eighteen hundred and one was in custody of the Sheriff of Anne Arundel County in prison, under an Execution of James Barry; and so being in custody a Capias ad satisfaciendum was issued against the said James Ray by Daniel Carroll on the said thirty first day of July, which on the same day was delivered unto the said Sheriff, who on that day served the said Capias on the said James Ray: that the said Ray was committed on the said Execution to the said Sheriff by the General Court at October Term following Court, on the Twentieth day of the said month of October: — And the Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further say, as to the Fourth Issue, that the said James Ray being committed to the Sheriff of Anne Arundel County under an Execution at the suit of Daniel Carroll at October Term Eighteen hundred and one remained in the custody of the said Sheriff in prison until the present time, and so continues — that the aforesaid Execution was delivered to the Sheriff on the thirty first day of July Eighteen hundred and one the said Ray then being in the custody of the Sheriff aforesaid under an Execution of James Barry. And the Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further say, as to the Fifth Issue, that the said James Ray was not arrested for Debt at the suit of the United States at any time before the first day of January Eighteen hundred and two: — And the Jurors aforesaid upon their oath aforesaid, do further say, as to the Sixth Issue, that the said James Ray did use the trade of Merchandize by buying and selling in Gross and retail between the Commencement of the Bankrupt Law and the arrest of James Barry, but that there was no dealing in Exchange at any time between the period aforesaid: — And the Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further say, as to the Seventh Issue, that a Commission of Bankruptcy issued on the fifth day of November Eighteen hundred and one, under the Bankrupt Law of the United States against the said James Ray: — And the Jurors aforesaid, upon their oath aforesaid, do further say, as to the Eighth Issue, that the Commission of Bankruptcy which issued against James Ray on the fifth day of November Eighteen hundred and one, was superseded by