the year of our Lord 1802.

Wm. Gassaway Reg. Wills A.A. Coty

And that the said Elizabeth departed this life unmarried on the fourth day of February, seventeen hundred and thirty five.

The Plaintiff also offered in Evidence the deed of Mortgage referred to in the said last will of the said Thomas Larkin. The Plaintiff also offered Evidence to the Jury that Zachariah Maccubbin intermarried with Susannah his wife on the twentieth of July in the year seventeen hundred and four and departed this life about the month of December in the year seventeen hundred and fifty six leaving Nicholas Maccubbin his son and heir and that the said Nicholas Maccubbin departed this life on or about the month of March in the year seventeen hundred and Eighty seven aged about Eighty five years leaving Nicholas Carroll of the City of Annapolis his heir. The Plaintiff also gave evidence to the Jury that the said Nicholas Maccubbin was a sensible intelligent man possess of a large fortune and very careful and attentive to his Interest.

The Plaintiff further offered in Evidence that from the time of the Grant to the said George Holland until the present time no person has been known to have ever actually possessed or claimed the tract of Land called Hollands Park claiming it as such, except the Plaintiff and defendant under their Escheat patents aforesaid, and further that no person of the name of Larkin or Maccubbin or of any other name has been known to set up a title to, or to claim the said tract except under the Escheat patents aforesaid.

Also that Walter Tolley aforesaid lived adjoining the aforesaid tract of land called Hollands Park during the whole of his life and was an old man at the time of his death; also that the said Defendant is an attorney of Great legal information and has been in the practice of the law for near or quite thirty years.

The Plaintiff also offered in evidence to the Jury an entry on the old Rent roll in the land office, made about the year seventeen hundred and ten in these words, to wit.

150. 6 Hollands Park Jun. 14. Octo 1683. for George Holland above the head of Gunpowder river at a bound tree at the out of a parcel of land called Hills Forrest and now in posf. of John Ford AAb.

Taken from the rent roll Wm. Callahan.

Also an Extract ben patented to George Holland, to wit. Collets Neglect. Holland

Hollands, Denton. Hollands Delight. Holland and Hollands Advent. Also a record of a suit brought by Nicholas Maccubbins Lessee against Medford about the year seventeen hundred and sixty six for establishing the bounds of a tract of Land lying in Kent County devised to the said Nicholas Maccubbin by Colonel Richard Bennett and which suit was tried at the assizes held in Queen Annes County in the following words to wit.

Maryland, to wit.

At a Provincial Court begun and held at the City of Annapolis in Ann Arundel County in and for the Province aforesaid on the third Tuesday of October being the Eighteenth day of the same month in the 13th year of his Lordships Dominion &c. Anno Domini 1767.

Present

The Honble.	John Hepburn Bedingfield Hands John Leeds	John Beale Bordley Dan. of St. Thos. Jenifer and George Stewart	Esquires Justices

William Stewart Esq. sheriff. & Reverdy Ghiselin Clerk.

In the record of proceedings of the same Court among others is the following, to wit.

Nicholas McCubbin's Lessee
ag.
Thomas Medford.

Maryland, Queen Anns County, to wit

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit, the twenty first day of October Anno Domini seventeen hundred and sixty six, Thomas Medford late of Kent County planter was attached to answer unto Isaac Bickerstaff of a plea wherefore with force and arms and so forth into all that tract or parcel of plantable Land called Yapp situate lyeing and being in Kent County aforesaid containing five hundred Acres of Land with the appurtenances which Nicholas Maccubbin to him the said Isaac demised for a term not yet past he the said Thomas did enter and him the said Isaac from his farm aforesaid did eject and other harms to him the said Isaac did to the Great damage of him the said Isaac and against the peace of the right honourable the Lord proprietary and so forth. And whereupon the said Isaac by I Hall his attorney complains that whereas the aforesaid Nicholas on the first day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and sixty five at the County aforesaid had demised unto him the said Isaac the said premises with the appurtenances thereunto belonging, to have and to occupy the said premises with the appurtenances to the said Isaac and his assigns from the