Ringgold he saith that the aforesaid John Miller notwithstanding any thing in his said replication pleaded ought not to have or maintain his said action thereof against him the said Samuel Ringgold because he the said Samuel Ringgold saith that the said Thomas Ringgold hath well and truly paid and satisfied to the aforesaid John Miller as well all and singular the debt damages and Costs adjudged against him by the said General Court as aforesaid as also all Costs and damages that were awarded by the Court of Appeals as aforesaid upon the affirmance of the said Judgment to wit, on the twenty first day of June in the year one thousand eight hundred at Baltimore County aforesaid and this he the said Samuel Ringgold is ready to verify; wherefore he prays Judgment whether the aforesaid John Miller his action aforesaid thereof against him the said Samuel Ringgold to have and maintain ought and soforth.

And the aforesaid John Miller as to the rejoinder of the said Samuel Ringgold above by rejoining pleaded says, he ought not to be precluded from having and maintaining his action aforesaid against the said Tench Ringgold, because he says that the said Thomas Ringgold hath not well and truly paid and satisfied the aforesaid John Miller as well all and singular the debt, damages and Costs adjudged against him by the said General Court as aforesaid, as also all Costs and damages that were awarded by the Court of Appeals as aforesaid upon the affirmance of the said Judgment in manner and form as the aforesaid John Miller hath by his said replication alledged and this he prays may be enquired of by the Country and so forth, and the said Samuel Ringgold in like manner and soforth.

Therefore let a Jury thereupon appear before the Court here, on the second Tuesday of May next, by whom and soforth and who neither and soforth, to recognize and soforth, because as well and soforth, the same day is given to the parties aforesaid then and there and so forth.

And now at this day to wit the said second Tuesday of May being the Eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and two comes again into the General

General Court here as well the aforesaid John Miller by his attorney aforesaid as the said Samuel Ringgold by his attornies aforesaid And the said Samuel Ringgold by his attornies aforesaid relinquishing the averment aforesaid above by him pretended says that he cannot deny the action aforesaid of the aforesaid John Miller so as aforesaid against him brought nor but that he owes to the aforesaid John Miller the said sum of one thousand pounds Current money, in manner and form aforesaid as the aforesaid John Miller above against him hath declared.

Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the aforesaid John Miller recover against the said Samuel Ringgold as well the sum of one thousand pounds Current money his debt aforesaid in form aforesaid confessed as also the quantity of eight hundred and twenty nine pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto him the aforesaid John Miller on his assent adjudged for his damages which he hath sustained as well by reason of the detention of the said Debt as for his costs and charges by him about his suit in this behalf laid out and expended. And the said Samuel Ringgold in mercy and so forth.

Memorandum, Judgment rendered in this Cause on the twelfth day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and two, for the sum of one thousand pounds Current money the penalty of the bond and Costs of suit To be released on payment of the sum of three hundred and ninety five dollars with Interest thereon from the twenty sixth day of June one thousand seven hundred and ninety seven and sixteen hundred and sixty nine pounds of Tobacco at twelve shillings and six pence per Centum and Costs. And the Plaintiff agrees to stay Execution until the first day of August next.

42 Tids. Test John Givinn Clk.

John Miller for the use of Nicholas Brice
against
Tench Ringgold

Be it remembered, that heretofore to wit, on the twenty fifth day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred the said John Miller by Nicholas Brice his attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the General Court the writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum directed to the sheriff of Washington