challenged by the parties that is to say the said Robert Darnall
and Clement Richards on the part of the said Defendant
other jurors of the by standers so summoned by the Sheriff
aforesaid being called come that is to say William Alexander
and Philemon Brown and because the said jurors so appearing
being according to the act of assembly in such case provided
challenged by the parties that is to say the said William
Alexander on the part of the defendant and the said Philemon
Brown on the part of the petitioner other jurors of the by standers
so summoned by the Sheriff aforesaid being called come that
is to say Ezekiel Gott and Richard Dorsey whereupon one of
them that is to say the said Richard Dorsey is sworn upon
that jury and because the other juror so appearing being
challenged according to the act of assembly aforesaid on the
part of the petitioner another juror of the by standers so
summoned by the Sheriff aforesaid being called comes that
is to say John Munroe whereupon it being objected on the
part of the defendant to the said John Munroe being sworn
on the jury alleging that he does not stand indifferent
between the parties to this issue thereupon the said John Patridge
and Charles Baker the two first of the jurors herein before
impannelled are sworn to try whether the said John Munroe
stands indifferent between the parties to this issue who
having retired from the bar of the Court here afterwards
return and on their oath do say that the said John Munroe
does stand indifferent between the parties to this issue and
because the said John Munroe being challenged according
to the act of Assembly aforesaid on the part of the defendant
another juror of the by standers so summoned by the Sheriff
aforesaid being called comes that is to say Richard Mackubin.

whereupon the said Richard Mackubin is sworn upon that jury
which said Nicholas Brewer Richard Dorsey and Richard
Mackubin so summoned by the Sheriff aforesaid from among
the by standers elected at the request of the parties and by command
of the Court here are added to the panel whose names to the
panel aforesaid are annexed according to the form of the statute
in such case made and provided and the jurors so added together
with the other jurors aforesaid before empannelled being elected
tried and sworn to say the truth in the premises above contained
do on their oath say that the petitioner Charles Mahoney is the
son of Nelly who was the daughter of Mrs Carroll's Sue who was
the daughter of Mrs Daggs's Sue who was the daughter of a black
woman named Joice formerly held and claimed by Col Henry
Darnall that the said Henry Darnall departed this life in the
year seventeen hundred and eleven and that the said Joyce
from whom the petitioner is descended was for many years held
and claimed by the said Henry Darnall as his slave and died in
his possession or in the possession of his representatives at the
Wood Yard in Prince Georges County but at what precise period
she departed this life is to the jurors aforesaid unknown the jurors
aforesaid further say that the issue of the said Joice and their
descendants were and have been always held and claimed
as slaves by the said Henry Darnall and those claiming under
him and they do not find that either the said Joice in her
life time or any of her children ever claimed the right of freedom
and the jurors aforesaid further say that the said Joice came
into this Country with Charles Lord Baltimore son of
Cecilius Lord Baltimore from England between the year seventeen
hundred and seventy six and the fifteenth of February sixteen