And the aft William Humphreys by his Attorney aft prayed further Liberty of continuing his Action thereof there untill the second Tuesday of September then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft Charles Gordon and so forth.

At which aft second Tuesday of September seventeen hundred and seventy eight came the parties aft by their Attornies aft And the aforesd Charles Gordon by his Attorney aft further prayed that the Rule of Court be further extended on the aft William Humphreys to reply at the then next April Term and it was granted unto him

And the aft William Humphreys by his Attorney aft prayed further Liberty of continuing his Action thereof there untill the second Tuesday of April then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft Charles Gordon and so forth.

At which aft second Tuesday of April seventeen hundred and seventy nine came the parties aft by their Attorneys aft And the aforesd Charles Gordon by his Attorney aft further prayed that the Rule of Court be further extended on the aft William Humphreys to reply at the then next September Term and it was granted unto him

And the aft William Humphreys by his Attorney aft prayed further Liberty of continuing his Action thereof there untill the second Tuesday of September then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft Charles Gordon and so forth.

At which aft second Tuesday of September seventeen hundred and seventy nine came the parties aft by their Attorneys aft And the aft Charles Gordon by his Attorney aft further prayed that the Rule of Court be further extended on the aft William Humphreys to reply at the then next April Term and it was granted unto him

And the aft William Humphreys by his Attorney aft prayed further Liberty of continuing his Action thereof there untill the second Tuesday of April then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft Charles Gordon and so forth.

And now here to wit the second Tuesday of April seventeen hundred and eighty cometh the aft Charles Gordon by his Attorney aforesaid And the aft Charles Gordon by his Attorney aforesaid prayeth That the aft William Humphreys to the plea of him the same Charles Gordon may Reply And the aft William Humphreys altho' solemnly called cometh not nor to the Plea of him the same Charles Gordon doth reply but maketh Default

Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the aft William Humphreys take nothing by his Bill aft but that he and his Pledges of Prosecution to wit John Doe and Richard Roe be in mercy &c so forth and that the aft Charles Gordon go thereof without day and so forth. And it is further considered that the aft Charles Gordon do recover against the aft William Humphreys

Pounds of Tobacco for his Costs and Charges by him about his Defence aft in this part sustained unto the same Charles Gordon by the Court here according to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided Adjudged And that the same Charles Gordon have thereof Execution and so forth.

James Earle Clk.

Robert Smith against Ann Vaughan	The State of Maryland. Maryland to wit Command was given to the Sheriff of Worcester County that he should take Ann Vaughan late of Worcester County Widow otherwise called Ann Vaughan Administratrix to the Estate of Jonathan Vaughan Deceased if she should be found in his Bailiwick and her safe keep so that he should have her body before the Justices of the Provincial Court to be held at Annapolis the second Tuesday of April then next to answer unto Robert Smith of a Plea that she should render unto him the sum of one thousand Pounds lawful money of Pensylvania of the value of one thousand Pounds current Money of Maryland which from him she unjustly detained and so forth. And that he should not thereof fail at his Peril And that he should have then and there this Writ Witness William Hayward Esquire chief Justice of said Court the twenty fifth day of October in the third year of the Dominion and so forth Annoque Domini 1773. Issued the 15th day of December 1773. J Hallyday. R Ghiselin Clk

At which aft second Tuesday of April seventeen hundred and seventy four came the aft Robert Smith by James Hallyday his Attorney And the Sheriff of Worcester County aft made return of the writ aforesaid in the words following to wit Cepi Corpus and Copy Not delivered to the Defendant the second day of February 1774. John Done Sheriff.

And the aft Robert Smith by James Hallyday his Attorney Declared against the aft Ann Vaughan in manner and form following to wit.

Worcester County to wit Ann Vaughan late of Worcester County Widow otherwise called Ann Vaughan Administratrix to the Estate of Jonathan Vaughan deceased was summoned to answer unto Robert Smith of a plea that she render unto him the sum of one thousand Pounds lawful Money of Pensylvania of the value of one thousand Pounds current Money of Maryland which from him she unjustly detains and so forth.

And whereupon the aft Robert by James Hallyday his Attorney saith that whereas the aft Ann the thirty first day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and seventy two at Worcester County aft by her certain writing obligatory had granted herself to be held to the said Robert by the Name of Robert Smith of Muckland in Chester County in Pensylvania in the aft sum of one thousand Pounds lawful Money of Pensylvania which sum of one thousand Pounds lawful Money of Pensylvania at the Time of making the writing