recover against the said Philemon Lecompte. their Damages aft. by the Jurors aft. assessed as also nine hundred and twenty Pounds of Tobacco for their Costs and Charges aft. unto the same Plaintiffs by the Court here at their request of Increase Adjudged to be levied of the Goods and Chattels which were of the aft. Charles Walker at the time of his Death in the hands of the said Philemon to be Administered being if so much in his hands he hath to be administered and if he hath not then the aft. nine hundred and twenty Pounds of Tobacco for the Costs and Charges aft. of the proper Goods and Chattels of him the said Philemon to be levied and the same Philemon Lecompte in Mercy &c.

Whereupon the aft. Philemon by his Attorney aft. before the Justices aft. appeareth and Prayeth liberty of appealing from the Judgment aft. to the Right Honourable the Lord Proprietary his Justices of the Peace of the Provincial Court there to proceed against the said Judgment as aft. against him rendered and he hath it and thereupon by assent of the same Justices at the Prayer of the said Philemon by his Attorney aft. the following Bill of Exceptions was taken to wit

Alexander Speers, John Bowman, ... William French, Ebenezer Mackie, ... John Crawford & George Crawford, ... against Philemon Lecompte Adm.r of Chas. Walker	Action on the Case for divers Goods Wares and Merchandizes sold and delivered by Plaintiffs to Charles Walker deceased. The defendant in this Cause pleaded the two several Pleas mentioned in the Record herewith sent to the first of which the defendant joined Issue to the second the Plaintiffs replied and Issue was also joined and in order to prove the second Issue the Defendant offered to prove and to give in Evidence by John Goldsborough who was Deputy Commissary of the County aft. at the time of the Death of the said Charles Walker and who hath ever since been and still is Deputy Commissary of the said County that the said Philemon Lecompte the Administrator did return an Inventory of all and singular the Goods & Chattels Rights and Credits which were of the said Charles at the time of his death and also to prove by the said John Goldsborough Deputy Commissary as aft. what the amount of the Estate of the said Charles Walker was to which the Counsel for the Plaintiffs did object & insisted that the said John Goldsborough ought not to be admitted to prove the said Facts of which opinion the said Court was & did refuse to suffer the s.d John Goldsborough to be sworn to prove the Facts aft. so as aft. offered to be proved by him to which opinion of the Court the Defendant by his Counsel does except and prays the benefit of his Exception according to the form of the Statute &c Wm. Ennalls

Seal. (S.)

In Testimony that the aforegoing is a true transcript of the Record I hereto set my hand and affix the Public Seal of the County aft. this 7th day of February Anno Domini 1775. R.d Spring Clk of Dorchester County

But because the Court there was not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the Premisses day was given to the said Parties untill the second Tuesday of September then next to hear their Judgment of and upon the Premisses aft. because the Court there was thereof not yet and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1775. came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. And thereupon the Process between the Parties aft. was continued from the aft. second Tuesday of September 1775. to the Second Tuesday of April Anno Domini 1778. according to the Act of the General Assembly of the State of Maryland in that case made and Provided.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1778. came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. but because the Court there was not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the Premisses further day was given to the said Parties until the second Tuesday of September then next to hear their Judgment of and upon the Premisses aft. because the Court there was thereof not yet. and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1778. came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. but because the Court there was not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the Premisses further day was given to the said Parties until the second of April then next to hear their Judgment of and upon the Premisses aft. because the Court there was thereof not yet and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1779. came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. but because the Court there was not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the Premisses further day was given to the said Parties until the second Tuesday of September then next to hear their Judgment of and upon the Premisses aft. because the Court there was thereof not yet and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1779. came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. but because the Court there was not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the Premisses further day was given to the said Parties until the second Tuesday of April then next to hear their Judgment of and upon the Premisses aft. because the Court there was thereof not yet and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1780. came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. but because the Court there was not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the Premisses further day was given to the said Parties until the second Tuesday of September then next to hear their Judgment of and upon the Premisses aft. because the Court there was thereof not yet and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1780. came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. but because the Court there was not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the Premisses further day was given to the said Parties until the second Tuesday of April then next to hear their Judgment of and upon the Premisses aft. because the Court there was thereof not yet and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1781. came the Parties aft. by their Attornies aft. but because the Court there was not yet advised to give their Judgment of & upon the Premisses further day was given to the said Parties until the second Tuesday of September then next to hear their Judgment of & upon the Premisses aft.