At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1782. came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. Therefore it was further ordered that a Jury should come before the Court there the second Tuesday of April then next who neither and so forth. to Recognize and so forth. Because as well & so forth the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

And now here to wit the same second Tuesday of April 1783. cometh the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. Whereupon to by that Jeue It is commanded to the several Sheriffs of the Eastern Shore of this State to cause to come here before the Judges aft. Twelve and so forth. Who neither and so forth. to Recognize and so forth. Because as well and so forth. And thereupon Anthony Banning, Francis Jenkins Henry, William Paddison, Henry Gale, Edward Smoot, Joshua Willes, Samuel M Clemmy, Robert Dawson, Moses Alford, Benjamin Dixon James Hopewell, and Robert Dunn - - - - - - by the said Sheriffs to this being impannelled and here called do come and on that Jury are Sworn Who to say the Truth of the Premisses being Elected, tryed and sworn upon their Oath do say That the aft. Francis Baker is not thereof Guilty of the Premisses above upon him imposed in manner and form as the aft. Edward Lloyd in the Declaration of Trespass and Ejectment above against him hath complained.

Therefore It is considered by the Court here that the aft. Edward Lloyd take nothing by his Declaration in Trespass and Ejectment aft. but that he and his Pledges of Prosecution to wit John Doe and Richard Roe be in Mercy and that the aft. Francis Baker go thereof without day and so forth. And it is further considered by the Court here that the aft. Francis Baker do recover against the aft. Edward Lloyd Pounds of Tobacco for his Costs and Charges by him about his defence aft. in this part sustained unto the same Francis Baker by the Court here according to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided adjudged. And that the same Francis Baker have thereof Execution and so forth.

James Earle Clk.

William Pindar
against
Solomon Sinnett

The State of Maryland: Command was given to the Sheriff of Queen Anne County that he should take Solomon Sinnett late of Queen Anne County Planter if he should be found in his Bailiwick and him safe keep so that he should have his body before the Judges at the next General Court to be held for the Eastern Shore of this State in the County of Talbot at the Court house in the County aft. the second Tuesday of September then next to answer unto William Pindar of a Plea Wherefore with force and Arms and so forth in and upon him the said William then and there being in Church & attending divine Service

Divine Service he the said Solomon Sinnett an Assault did make & him the said William Pindar did beat wound and ill treat and other harms to the said William Pindar then and there did to the great damage of the said William Pindar and against the Peace Government and Dignity of the State of Maryland and so forth. And that he should not thence fail at his Peril And that he should have then and there that Writ. Witness Nicholas Thomas Esqr one of the Judges of said Court the 11th day of April 1780. Issued the 31st day of July 1780. G. James Earle Clk.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1780. came the aft. William Pindar by Robert Goldsborough junr his Attorney And the Sheriff of Queen Anne County aft. made return of the Writ aft. in the Words following to wit Cepi Corpus Copy of Declaration delivered 19th August

Jos. Seney Sheriff

And the aft. William Pindar by his Attorney aft. offered himself in the Plea aft. against the aft. Solomon Sinnett and complained in manner and form following to wit.

Queen Anne County to wit. Solomon Sinnett late of Queen Anne County Planter was attached to answer unto William Pindar of a Plea wherefore with force and Arms and so forth in and upon him the said William then and there being in Church and attending divine Service he the said Solomon Sinnett an Assault did make and him the said William Pindar did beat wound and ill treat and other harms to the said William Pindar then and there did to the great Damage of the said William & Maryland and so forth. And whereupon the same William Pindar by Robert Goldsborough Junior his Attorney complains that the said Solomon Sinnett on the twenty eighth day of May in the year seventeen hundred and eighty at Queen Anne County aft. with force and Arms and so forth in and upon him the said William Pindar then and there being in Church and attending divine Service an Assault did make and him the said William Pindar then and there being in Church as aft. did beat wound and ill treat and other harms to the said William Pindar then & there did to the great damage of the said William Pindar and against the Peace Government and Dignity of this State and so forth wherefore the said William Pindar saith that he is the worse and hath sustained Damage to the value of ten thousand Pounds current Money and therefore he brings this Suit and so forth.

R Goldsborough Junr - - - Pledges and so forth. { John Doe / Richd Roe

And the aft. Solomon Sinnett by Robert Wright his Attorney Prayed Liberty of Imparling thereof there until the second Tuesday of April then next and he had it. the same day was given to the aft. Plaintiff there and so forth

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1781. came the parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. And the aft. defendant by his Attorney aft. prayed further liberty of Imparling thereof there until the second Tuesday of September then next and