and he had it. the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1778. came the parties aft. by their Attorney's aft. and the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. prayed further leberty of continuing his action thereof there until the second Tuesday of April then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1779. came the parties aft. by their Attorney's aft. and the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. prayed further liberty of continuing his action thereof there until the second Tuesday of September then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1779 came the parties aft. by their Attorney's aft. and the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. prayed further liberty of continuing his action thereof there until the second Tuesday of April then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1780. came the parties aft. by their Attorney's aft. and the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. prayed further of continuing his action thereof there until the second Tuesday of September then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1780. came the parties aft. by their Attorney's aft. and the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. prayed further liberty of continuing his action thereof there until the second Tuesday of April then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1781. came the parties aft. by their Attorney's aft. and the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. prayed further liberty of continuing his action thereof there until the second Tuesday of September then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1781. came the parties aft. by their Attorney's aft. and the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. prayed further liberty of continuing his action thereof there until the second Tuesday of April then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1782. came the parties aft. by their Attorney's aft. and the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. prayed further liberty of continuing his action thereof there until the second Tuesday of September then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1782. came the parties aft. by their Attorney's aft. And the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. offered him self in the plea aft. against the aft. defendant and complained in manner and form following to wit. Maryland ss. Hannah Clayton late of Queen Anns County Widow Executrix of the last Will and Testament of Edward Clayton late of Queen Anns County Gentleman deceased was summoned to answer unto Henry Hartford absolute Lord and Proprietary of the Province of Maryland of a plea that she render unto him the sum of three thousand Pounds Sterling Money which from him she unjustly detains and so forth. And Whereupon the said Henry Hartford by Luther Martin his Attorney saith that whereas the aft. Edward Clayton in his Lifetime to wit on the thirteenth day of August in

the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and sixty at the County aft. by his certain writing obligatory sealed with the seal of said Edward in his life time and to the Court now here shewn the date whereof is the day and year aft. acknowledged himself to be held and firmly bound unto the Lord Proprietary of the Province of Maryland in the aft. sum of three thousand Pounds Sterling Money to be paid to the said Lord Proprietary when he should be thereunto requested. Nevertheless the said Edward in his Life and the said Hannah after his decease altho. often thereunto afterwards requested have not paid the said sum of three thousand Pounds Sterling Money or any part thereof unto the said Lord Proprietary but the same to him to pay hitherto have entirely refused and the said Hannah still wholly refuseth to pay the same to him the said Lord Proprietary and from him the said sum of Money as yet unjustly detains to the damage of the said Lord Proprietary in the sum of five thousand Pounds current Money of Maryland and thereof he brings suit &c. with

* see below forbow —

Luther Martin for Plaintiff. Pledges and so forth { John Doe, Rich.d Roe

And the aft. Lord Proprietary by his Attorney aft. Prayed that a Rule of Court be made for the aft. Hannah Clayton to file her plea at the then next April Term and it was Granted unto him. Whereupon the same second Tuesday of September 1782. it was Ruled by the Court there that the aft. Hannah Clayton do file her plea, and answer to the Declaration of him the aft. Lord Proprietary at the then next April Term.

And the aft. Hannah Clayton by her Attorney aft. Prayed liberty of imparling thereof there until the second Tuesday of April then next and she had it the same day was given to the aft. Lord Proprietary there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1783 came the Parties aft. by their Attorney's aft. And the aft. Lord Proprietary by his Attorney aft. further prayed that the Rule of Court be extended on the aft. Hannah Clayton to file her plea at the then next September Term, and answer to the Declaration of him the same Lord Proprietary, and it was Granted unto him.

And the aft. Hannah Clayton by her Attorney aft. prayed further liberty of imparling thereof there until the second Tuesday of September then next and she had it the same day was given to the aft. Lord Proprietary there and so forth.

And now here to wit the same second Tuesday of September 1783 cometh the aft. Lord Proprietary by his Attorney aft. And the aft. Hannah Clayton, although solemnly called cometh not nor to the Declaration of him the same Lord Proprietary doth answer but maketh default.

Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the aft. Lord Proprietary do recover against the said Hannah Clayton the aft. Sum of three thousand Pounds Sterling Money his Debt aft. also the sum of ~~two thousand, three hundred and ninety five thousand sixty six~~ Pounds of Tobacco for his Damages which he hath sustained by occasion of the Detention of that Debt unto the aft. Lord Proprietary by the Court here of his Assent adjudged And the aft. Hannah Clayton in Mercy and so forth.

James Earle Clk.

* Vide Bond in the action same Persons against Tubutt Wright Admr of Geo. Garrett Junr in page 337 following