At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1778 came the aft. Lord Proprietary by Thomas Jennings his Attorney And the Sheriff of Queen Anne County aft. made return of the Writ aft. in the words following to wit Cepi Corpus.

W. Wright Sheriff QA County

And the aft. Lord Proprietary by his Attorney did bring into the Court aft. the following Bond and prayed to have the same filed and Recorded which was accordingly done in the words following to wit

Maryland ss. Know all Men by these Presents That We Elizabeth Harris Widow, George Garnett Junr. William Clayton, Nathan Wright, and Edward Clayton Gentlemen all of Queen Anne County are held and firmly bound unto the Right Honourable the Lord Proprietary of this Province in the full and just sum of three thousand Pounds Sterling Money to be paid to his said Lordship his heirs and Successors To which Payment well and truly to be made and done we bind our selves and every of us our and every of our heirs Executors and Administrators in the whole and for the whole Jointly and Severally firmly by the Presents Sealed with our Seals and dated this thirteenth day of August in the Tenth Year of his said Lordships Dominion and so forth A D 1760.

The Condition of the above Obligation is such that if the above bound Elizabeth Harris and George Garnett Junior Executors of the last Will & Testament of Thomas Harris late of Queen Anne County deceased do make or cause to be made a true and perfect Inventory of all and singular the Goods and Chattels Rights & Credits which were of said deceased at the time of his Death according to Law (appraised in Money) together with a list of Debts Sperate and Desperate and the same so made do Exhibit or cause to be Exhibited into the Office for Probate of Wills &c. at or before the thirteenth day of November next ensuing and the same Goods Chattels and Credits well and truly Administer to wit do pay the Debts of the said Deceased which he did owe at the time of his Decease so far forth as the said Goods and Chattels will extend and the Law will charge as also all such reasonable Charges and fees as have arisen or shall arise or become due to any his Lordships Officers from the said Elizabeth Harris and George Garnett Junr. on account of the said deceased or his Estate & further do make or cause to be made a just and true account of and upon the said Administration within Twelve Months from the day of their Admittance to such Administration and such Parcel or Portion of the said Estate as shall be found Remaining upon such Account Examined and Adjudged by the Judge or Judges appointed for the time being for Probate of Wills and so forth do distribute or dispose according to Law and the true Intent and meaning of the last Will and Testament and also of the Codicil of the last Will and Testament of the said deceased and lastly do at all & every time and times hereafter clearly discharge and save harmless his said Lordship his Judge and all other his Officers and Ministers from all Persons having or Pretending to have any Right Title or Interest to the said Goods and Chattels that then this Obligation to be void and of no Effect or else to stand remain and be in full force Power and Virtue in Law.

Sealed and delivered in Presence of us } Eliza Harris (seal) Geo Garnett Junr (seal)
Patrick Bryon. Th Wright — Wm Clayton (seal) Nathan Wright (seal) Edwd Clayton (seal)

In Testimony that the within is a true Copy from the original Bond Exhibited in the Prerogative Office of the Province of Maryland Extracted and assigned over at the Instance and for the Use of Thomas Hill Airey who intermarried with Mary one of the Daughters of the Deceased I have hereto set my hand and affixed the Public Seal of said Court this 12th day of May Anno Dominni 1773.

Elie Vallette Regr. Curr Pro:

And the aft. Turbutt Wright by Joseph Nicholas on Junr his Attorney came & defended the force and Injury when and so forth and Prayed that a Rule of Court be made for the aft. Plaintiffs to file their Declaration and it was Granted unto them Whereupon the second Tuesday of September 1778 was Ruled by the Court there to the aft. Plaintiffs to declare against the aft. Defendant. And the aft. Plaintiffs by their Attorney aft. Prayed liberty of continuing their Action thereof there until the second Tuesday of April then next and they had it The same day was given to the aft. Defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1779 came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. And the aft. defendant by his Attorney aft. Prayed that the Rule of Court be further extended on the aft. Plaintiffs to declare against the aft. Defendant And the aft. Plaintiffs by their Attorney aft. Prayed further liberty of continuing their Action thereof there until the second Tuesday of September then next and they had it The same day was given to the aft. Defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1779 came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. And the aft. defendant by his Attorney aft. Prayed that the Rule of Court be further extended on the aft. Plaintiffs to declare against the aft. defendant And the aft. Plaintiffs by their Attorney aft. Prayed further liberty of continuing their Action thereof there until the second Tuesday of April then next and they had it The same day was given to the aft. Defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1780 came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. And the aft. defendant by his Attorney aft. Prayed that the Rule of Court be further extended on the aft. Plaintiffs to declare against the aft. defendant and it was Granted unto him And the aft. Plaintiffs by their Attorney aft. Prayed further liberty of continuing their Action thereof there until the second Tuesday of September then next and they had it The same day was given to the aft. Defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1780 came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. And the aft. defendant by his Attorney aft. Prayed that the Rule of Court be further extended on the aft. Plaintiffs to declare against the aft. defendant and it was Granted unto him And the aft. Plaintiffs by their Attorney aft. Prayed further liberty of continuing their Action thereof there until the second Tuesday of April then next and they had it The same day was given to the aft. Defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1781 came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. And the aft. defendant by his Attorney aft. Prayed that the Rule of Court be further extended on the aft. Plaintiffs to declare against the aft. defendant and it was Granted unto him. And the aft. Plaintiffs by their Attorney aft.