aft. by their Attorney's aft. Whereupon to try that Issue Its Commanded to the several Sheriffs for the Eastern Shore of the State of Maryland that they cause to come here before the Judge aft. immediately Twelve and so forth Who neither and so forth. To Recognize and so forth. Because as well and so forth. And Thereupon Pollard Edmondson, John Lecompte, Robert Wilson, + + David Robinson, Noble Beedle, William Maynadier, Richard Hennard, Robert Dawson, John Allen Sonston, Robert Lloyd Nichols, John Brooks, and David Woolford come ..... and in that Jury are Sworn. Who to say the Truth of the Premises being Elected tryed and sworn upon their Oath do say That he the said Daniel Payne did undertake and faithfully Promised the same Lucretia Harper that he the said Daniel Payne would take the said Lucretia Harper to be his Wife in manner and form as the said Lucretia Harper above against the said Daniel Payne hath complained. And do assess the Damages to Two Hundred Pounds Current Money besides her Costs of Suit.

Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the aft. Lucretia Harper do recover against the aft. Daniel Payne the sum of Two hundred Pounds current Money her Damages aft. So also four thousand Eight hundred and fifty nine Pounds of Tobacco for her Costs and Charges unto the same Lucretia Harper by the Court here adjudged and so forth. And the afores. Daniel Payne in Mercy and so forth. James Earle Clk

James Lloyd
against
James Porter &
Nathaniel Breading

The State of Maryland Command was given to the Sheriff of Cecil County that he should take James Porter and Nathaniel Breading late of Cecil County if they should be found in his Bailiwick and them safe keep so that he should have their Bodies before the Judges at the next General Court to be held for the Eastern Shore of this State in the County of Talbot at the Court house in the County aft. the second Tuesday of April then next to answer unto James Lloyd of a plea of Trespass upon the Case and so forth. And that he should not thence fail at his Peril And that he should have then and there that Writ Witness The Honourable Nicholas Thomas Esqre one of the Judges of said Court the 11th day of October Anno Domini 1780.

Issued the 8th day January 1781. G.S. James Earle Clk

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1781. came the aft. James Lloyd by Gustavus Scott his Attorney And the Sheriff of Cecil County aft. made return of the Writ aft. in the words following to wit. Cepi Pat Hamilton Sheriff

And the aft. James Lloyd by Gustavus Scott his Attorney Prayed Liberty of continuing his Action thereof there until the second Tuesday of September then next and he had it The same day was given to the aft. defendants there &c so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1781. came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. And the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. Prayed further Liberty of continuing his Action thereof there until the second Tuesday of April then next

and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1782. came the Parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. And the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. Prayed further Liberty of continuing his Action thereof there until the second Tuesday of September then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1782. came the parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. And the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. Prayed further Liberty of continuing his Action thereof there until the second Tuesday of April then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1783 came the parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. And the aft. Plaintiff by his Attorney aft. Prayed further Liberty of continuing his Action thereof there until the second Tuesday of September then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendant there and so forth.

At which aft. second Tuesday of September 1783. came the parties aft. by their Attorneys aft. And the aft. defendants by Luther Martin their Attorney Prayed That a Rule of Court be made for the aft. Plaintiff to file his Declaration at the then next April Term and it was Granted unto them Whereupon the same second Tuesday of September 1783 It was Ruled by the Court that the aft. James Lloyd should file his Declaration against the aft. James Porter and Nathaniel Breading at the then next April Term at his Peril. And the aft. James Lloyd by his Attorney aft. Prayed further Liberty of continuing his Action thereof there until the second Tuesday of April then next and he had it the same day was given to the aft. defendants there &c.

At which aft. second Tuesday of April 1784 came the aft. Parties by their Attorneys aft. And the aft. James Lloyd by his Attorney aft. offered himself in the Plea aft. and complained against the aft. James Porter and Nathaniel Breading in manner and form following to wit.

Cecil County to wit. James Porter and Nathaniel Breading late of Cecil County &c were Attached to answer unto James Lloyd of a plea of Trespass upon the Case &c so forth And whereupon the same James Lloyd by Gustavus Scott his Attorney complains that whereas on the day of in the year seventeen hundred seventy and nine at Cecil County aft. a certain discourse arose and was had and moved between the same James Lloyd and the same James Porter and Nathaniel Breading of & concerning the buying and selling of Wheat and upon that discourse it was then and there agreed between the same James Porter and Nathaniel Breading and the same James Lloyd that he the same Lloyd should for and in behalf of them the said James Porter and Nathaniel Breading purchase and procure as much good Merchantable Wheat as he the same James Lloyd could purchase and procure for them the same James Porter and Nathaniel Breading and the same when procured and purchased should deliver or cause to be delivered to them the same James Porter and Nathaniel Breading or either of them and that the same James Porter and Nathaniel Breading in Consideration thereof should pay to him the same James Lloyd the highest Market Price which should be given in the State of Maryland at any time in the year seventeen hundred and seventy nine for Wheat by the Bushel and thereupon in Consideration that the same James Lloyd had undertaken and then and there had promised to perform that agreement in every thing on his part to be performed the same James Porter and