by Robert Wright his Attorney aforesaid: Whereupon further proceeds and upon the premises between the Parties aforesaid, with consent of the said Parties and their Attorneys afsd and by order of the Court here thereon was continued until the second Tuesday of September then next — At which said second Tuesday of September in the year seventeen hundred and eighty eight came again the Parties aforesaid by their Attorneys afsd And thereupon at the prayer of the said Lydia Gilpin, Thomas Fisher, Myers Fisher Samuel Rowland Fisher and Joshua Gilpin Executors as aforesaid, it was ruled by the Court that the said William Merritt should file his plea and answer to the writ of scire facias afs. of the said Lydia, Thomas, Myers, Samuel and Joshua Executors as aforesaid, by the second Tues day of April then next; or that Judgment should be rendered against him by default, the same day was given to the said Lydia, Thomas, Myers, Samuel and Joshua then and so forth —

And now here at this day, to wit; The said second Tuesday of April, in the year One thousand seven hundred and Eighty nine, come again the Parties aforesaid by their Attorneys afsd, and the said William Merritt by his Attorney aforesaid defends the force and injury when and so forth, and says that he cannot gainsay the Action aforesaid aforesaid of the said Lydia Gilpin, Thomas Fisher, Myers Fisher Samuel Rowland Fisher, and Joshua Gilpin, Executors as aforesaid, nor hath he any cause to shew or knoweth to say why the said Lydia, Thomas, Myers, Samuel and Joshua Executors as aforesaid Execution of the Debt and Damages aforesaid against him the said William Merritt to have ought not, according to the force, form and effect of the recognizance afsd. Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the said Lydia Gilpin Thomas Fisher, Myers Fisher, Samuel Rowland Fisher and Joshua Gilpin Executors as aforesaid do recover against the said William Merritt their Execution of the Debt and Damages aforesaid, according to the force, form and effect of the Recognizance aforesaid: And it is further considered by the same Court here that the said Lydia, Thomas, Myers, Samuel and Joshua do recover against the said William Merritt the quantity of Nine hundred and eleven Pounds of Tobacco for his additional Damages, Costs and Charges, sustained by them by delay of the Execution aforesaid of the Judgment aforesaid, unto them by the Court here with their assent adjudged and so forth. And the said William Merritt in mercy &c.

James Earle Clk.

Judgment was rendered in this Action on the 17th day of April, Anno Domini 1789. for Penalty and Costs. To be released on the payment of all Costs in this Court and the County Court. Stay of Execution till September Term next.

John Page against Benjamin Hynson

Be it remembered that the Defendant by Robert Wright his Attorney sued out the State's writ of Habeas Corpus, which ensues as follows, to wit; The State of Maryland. To the Justices and Sheriff of Kent County; Greeting: Whereas a certain Benjamin Hynson is detained in the prison of our said County under the custody of you our said Sheriff, at the Suit of John Page of a plea of Trespass upon the case and so forth, which said Plea as it is said still depends before you our said Justices undetermined, and we being willing for divers causes that the Plea aforesaid undetermined as aforesaid, shall be heard and determined before the Judges of our General Court. Therefore we command you, that you have the body of the said Benjamin Hynson by whatsoever name or names he may be called in the Plea aforesaid, together with the Cause of his Caption and Detention and all things thereto relating, before the Judges of the General Court to be held for the Eastern Shore of this State at Talbot County Court House the second Tuesday of April next, that the Judges of our said Court may further proceed therein as to Justice appertains and so forth. Hereof fail not at your peril, and have you then and there this writ. Witness the Honourable Robert Hanson Harrison Esq. Chief Judge of our said Court this twenty eighth day of September Anno Domini 1787. Issued the 5th day of March 1788. (RW).

James Earle Clk.

At which said second Tuesday of April, in the year Seventeen hundred and eighty eight being the day of the return of the aforegoing writ, here came the said John Page by Peregrine Letherbury his Attorney; And the Justices and Sheriff of Kent County aforesaid did not make return of the record and proceedings aforesaid nor the Body of the said Benjamin Hynson before the Judges of our said Court agreable to the exigence of the same writ but therein did make default whereupon it was ruled by the Court here that the said Justices and Sheriff of Kent County should make return of the same writ together with the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the body of the said Benjamin Hynson before the Judges of our said General Court on the second Tuesday of September then next, the same day was given to the said John Page then and so forth —

And the said Benjamin Hynson by his attorney aforesaid caused the same Rule of Court to be sent to the Justices and Sheriff of Kent County aforesaid in the following words, to wit: "Pl

John Page vs. Kent.	General Court Eastern Shore April Term 1788.
5th March	Habeas Corpus. Record not returned —
Pltff. Benjamin Hynson	Rule on Justices and Sheriff to return Habeas Corpus &c

Whereas on the fifth day of March Anno Domini Seventeen hundred and eighty eight, a certain Benjamin Hynson late of Kent County, obtained out of our General Court his writ of Habeas Corpus directed to the Justices and Sheriff of Kent County commanding them that they should have the body of the said Benjamin Hynson