by whom &c. because as well &c.; And thereupon the persons returned of that Jury being called, Edward Harris, John Chambers, Joseph Boots, Levin Bayley, Joshua Hartshorn, James Seth, John Eccleston Junior, Joseph Douglass, James Richardson William Spencer, Joshua Willis and Jacob Freeman Abbott appear; who being elected, tried and sworn to say the truth of and upon the premises aforesaid, upon their Oath do say, that the aforesaid James Hutchings is not guilty of the Felony aforesaid above upon him charged in manner and form aforesaid as by the Indictment aforesaid is above supposed against: whereupon all and singular the premises by the Court here being seen, and fully understood; it is considered by the said Court that the said James Hutchings go be discharged from the premises aforesaid and that he go thereof without day &c. James Earle Clk:

The State of Maryland against Charles Riggen } Be it remembered, that at a General Court of the State of Maryland, held for the Eastern Shore of the said State; at Easton, in Talbot County, the second Tuesday in April, seventeen hundred and eighty five, before the Judges of the same General Court, by the Oath of twelve Jurors, good and Lawful Men of the said State, who were then and there empannelled, sworn and charged to enquire for the said State, and for the body of the Eastern Shore aforesaid it was presented that Charles Riggens, of Caroline County on or about the twenty eighth day of March last, at or near Choptank Bridge did Assault and Beat a certain James Cahan of the County aforesaid: Whereupon command was given to the Sheriff of Caroline County, on the twenty eighth day of November seventeen hundred and eighty eight, that he should take Charles Riggens late of Caroline County, if he should be found in his bailiwick, and him should safe keep, so that he might have his body before the Judges of our General Court to be held for the Eastern Shore of this State, at Easton, in Talbot County, the second Tuesday in April then next, to answer unto us, for that he on or about the twenty eighth day of March seventeen hundred and eighty five, at or near Choptank Bridge did Assault and Beat a certain James Cahal of the County aforesaid: And that he should not thereof fail at his peril: At which day, to wit, the said second Tuesday in April, seventeen hundred and eighty nine, there came Luther Martin Esquire Attorney of the said State of Maryland, who for the said State in this behalf did prosecute, and Thomas Hughlett Sheriff of the County aforesaid to the Court here made return that he had taken the body of the aforesaid Charles Riggen and the same had ready according to the exigence of the same writ: whereupon the Sheriff of the County aforesaid being solemnly called upon to bring into Court the body of the said Charles Riggen according to the return of

the writ aforesaid, did not bring in the same, but therein did make default: Wherefore it was ruled by the Court here that the Sheriff should be amerced, forfeit and pay to the State of Maryland, the sum of six shillings and eight pence, current money, unless he should have the Body of him the said Charles Riggens before the Judges of the Court here on the second Tuesday in September then next following: the same day was given to the said State there &c. At which day, to wit, the second Tuesday in September, here came Luther Martin Esquire, Attorney of the said State of Maryland, who for the said State in this behalf did prosecute, and the Sheriff aforesaid being again called upon to bring into Court the Body of the said Charles Riggens in compliance with the rule aforesaid of the Court here, and to save the Amerciament aforesaid, did not bring in the same but therein again made default: Wherefore it was ruled by the Court here, that the Sheriff should be amerced, forfeit and pay to the State of Maryland, the several Officers fees, that have arisen or may arise on this prosecution, unless he should have the body of the same Charles Riggens before the Judges of the Court here, on the second Tuesday in April then next following; the same day was given to the said State there &c. And now here at this day, to wit, the second Tuesday in April, seventeen hundred and ninety, comes Luther Martin Esquire, Attorney of the said State of Maryland, who for the said State in this behalf doth prosecute; and the said Sheriff being again solemnly called to bring into Court the body of the said Charles Riggen, accordingly brings in the same: And thereupon the said Charles Riggens comes here into Court, in his proper person, and being unwilling to contend with the said State the charges in the presentment above alledged against, putteth himself thereof upon the Court: Whereupon all and singular the premises by the Court here being seen and fully understood, it is considered by the same Court, that the aforesaid Charles Riggens do pay unto the said State of Maryland, the sum of five shillings, current money for a fine upon him imposed by occasion of the Assault and Battery aforesaid in form aforesaid committed: and for want thereof, and the several Officers fees, he is committed to the Sheriff present here in Court, there to remain until &c.

James Earle Clk:

The State of Maryland against Darius Gambel } Be it remembered, that at a General Court of the State of Maryland, held for the Eastern Shore of the said State, at Easton, in Talbot County, the second Tuesday in September, seventeen hundred and eighty nine, before the Judges of the same General Court, by the Oath of twelve Jurors good and lawful Men of the said State, who were then and there empannelled sworn and charged to enquire for the said State, and for the body of the Eastern