Edward Harris, Daniel Job, John Allen Sangston, Patrick Ewing, John Eccleston Junior, Thomas Smith Junior, Levin Ryley, William Rose, Joseph Boots and Joshua Willis do appear; who being elected, tried and sworn, upon their Oath do say, that the afs^d Robert Walters, John Meredith, Wright Scott and John Hackett are guilty of the riot and forcable entry afs^d, as in the Indictment afs^d against them it is supposed: And thereupon it is demanded of the said Robert Walters, John Meredith, Wright Scott and John Hackett if any thing they have to say, wherefore the Court here to Judgment and execution against them, of and upon the premises afs^d ought not to proceed; who nothing further say, only as they had said before: Whereupon all and singular the premises by the Court here being seen and fully understood, it is considered by the same Court, that the afs^d Robert Brown do pay unto the said State of Maryland, the sum of five pounds current money; and that John Meredith, Wright Scott and John Hackett do each pay unto the said State the sum of twenty shillings each, as a fine imposed upon them by occasion of the Riot and forcable entry afs^d, in form afs^d committed; and that the same Robert Walters, John Meredith, Wright Scott and John Hackett be taken to satisfy unto the said State the several sums of money afs^d.

James Earle Clk^k

The State of Maryland against Thomas Smith, of Ralph — Be it remembered, that at a General Court of the State of Maryland, held for the Eastern Shore of the said State, at Easton, in Talbot County, the second Tuesday in April, seventeen hundred and ninety, it was ordered by the same Court, that an Attachment of contempt should issue against Thomas Smith, of Ralph, returnable immediately; whereupon command was given to the Sheriff of Caroline County on the twenty first day of April, that he should Attach the body of Thomas Smith, Son of Ralph, if he should be found in his bailiwick and him safe keep, so that he might have him before the Judges of the General Court for the Eastern Shore of this State, at Easton, to answer a contempt in not attending as a Witness for the State of Maryland against Charles Riggins and that he should not thereof fail at his peril, At which said second Tuesday in April Thomas Hiett Esquire Sheriff of the County afs^d to the Court here made return, that he had Attached the body of the afs^d Thomas Smith of Ralph, and the same had ready according to the exigence of the said writ: Whereupon the afs^d Thomas Smith, of Ralph, comes here into Court, under the custody of the Sheriff afs^d; And thereupon all and singular

the premises being by the Court here seen and fully understood, it is considered by the same Court, that the afs^d Thomas Smith, of Ralph, be discharged from the premises afs^d, and go thence without day, on paying the several Officers fees; and that the afs^d Thomas Smith be taken to satisfy the same.

James Earle Clk^k

John Thomas Boucher against James Lloyd — Be it remembered, that command was given to the Sheriff of Talbot County, on the tenth day of December, seventeen hundred and seventy eight, that he should take James Lloyd late of Talbot County Gentleman, if he should be found in his bailiwick, and him safe keep, so that he might have his body before the Judges of the General Court to be held for the Eastern Shore of this State, in the County of Talbot, at the Court house in the County afs^d the second Tuesday of April then next, to answer unto John Thomas Boucher, of a plea, that he render unto him the sum of five thousand pounds, current money, which to him he owes and unjustly detains and so forth; and that he should not thereof fail at his peril: And the afs^d John Thomas Boucher, by Robert Goldsborough Junior his Attorney, declares against the said James Lloyd in the plea afs^d as follows, to wit; Talbot County, to wit; James Lloyd late of Talbot County Gentleman, was summoned to answer unto John Thomas Boucher of a plea, that he render unto him the sum of five thousand pounds, current money, which to [illegible] he owes and unjustly detains &c: And whereupon the same John Thomas Boucher, by Robert Goldsborough his Attorney, says, that the afs^d James Lloyd on the twelfth day of May in the Year seventeen hundred and seventy eight, at Talbot County afs^d, by his certain writing Obligatory, which the afs^d John Thomas Boucher, with the seal of the afs^d James sealed, here into Court doth bring, the date whereof is the same day and year afs^d, acknowledged himself to be held and firmly bound, unto the afs^d John Thomas Boucher, in the afs^d sum of five thousand pounds current money, to be paid to the said John Thomas Boucher, his certain Attorney, Executors Administrators or Assigns, when thereto afterwards he should be required, to which payment to be made the afs^d James Lloyd did bind himself, his Heirs, Executors and Administrators firmly by the same writing: Nevertheless the afs^d James Lloyd, altho' often required, the afs^d sum of money, or any part thereof, to the said John Thomas Boucher yet hath not, but the same to the said John Thomas Boucher to pay, the said James Lloyd altogether hitherto hath refused, and still doth refuse to the damage of the said John Thomas Boucher ten thousand