hand and seal. for ack. of Adams and Brice. Test Ja.s McRea

Benedt Brice (Seal)

On the back of the afo.s Bill Obligatory were the following endorsements, to wit; Mayland Baltimore Sc. On the ninth day of Jany 1788 came before me the Subscriber, one of the Justices of the peace for the bounty afo.s, James Jaffray, and made oath on the Holy Evangelists of Almighty God, that the above Note of two hundred and thirty one pounds lef Specie is just and true, as above expressed; and that he hath not directly nor indirectly received any part, Security or satisfaction for the same, more than credilt given, to the best of his knowledge. sworn to before

Isaac V Bebber

Mayland Balto. County Sc. On the ninth day of January 1788 came before me the Subscriber, one of the Justices for the bounty afo.s, Shepperd Church, Assistant and Book keeper for the above James Jaffray, who made oath on the Holy Evangelists of Allmighty God, that on the above Note, there has been received one hundred one hundred and fifty pounds lef and no more, to the best of his knowledge — Geo. Gould.th Prisbury —

And the afo.s James Jaffray by his Attorney afo.s prayed that the said James Cooke and Mary his wife, Administratrix as afo.s should answer to the Declaration afo.s of him the said James Jaffray; whereupon it is ruled by the Court here, at the prayer of the said James Jaffray, that the said James Cooke and Mary his wife should answer to the Declaration afo.s of him the said James Jaffray by the second Tuesday in September then next following: And it was said to the said to the said James Cooke and Mary his Wife that if they did not answer to the Declaration afo.s by the time afo.s, Judgment should be rendered against them by default; the same day was given to the said James Jaffray there and so forth. At which day, to wit, the second Tuesday in September, here came the parties afo.s, by their Attorneys afo.s, and the afo.s James Cooke and Mary his wife, by their Attorney afo.s said that the said James Jaffray his Action thereof against them to have and maintain ought not, because they said that they had fully administered all and singular the goods and chattels, which were of the afo.s Benedict Brice at the time of his death in their hands to be administered; and that they had not, nor at the day of the impetration of the original writ of the said James Jaffray in this Cause, nor at any time since, had any goods or chattels which were of the afo.s Benedict Brice at the time of his death in their hands to be Administered, whereby the said James Cooke



and Mary his wife, the claims of the said James Jaffray, or any part thereof, to the said James Jaffray could pay or satisfy: And this they are ready to verify; wherefore they pray Judgment whether the said James Jaffray his Action afo.s thereof against them to have or maintain ought &c — And the afo.s James Jaffray by his Attorney afo.s said, that by any thing above pretended in the plea of the afo.s James Cooke and Mary his Wife he ought not to be precluded from having or maintaining his said Action thereof against them, because he said that the said James Cooke and Mary his wife, at the day of the impetration of the Original writ of him the said James Jaffray in this Cause, to wit, the fourteenth day of August, in the year seventeen hundred and eighty eight, had divers goods and chattels which were of the afo.s Benedict Brice at the time of his death, in their hands to be administered, to the value of current money, and sufficient to pay and satisfy the demand of the said James Jaffray, to wit at the bounty afo.s; and this he prayed might be enquired of by the bountry. And the said James Cooke and Mary his Wife in like manner &c — And thereupon further process of and upon the premises afo.s, between the parties afo.s by order of the Court was continued until the second Tuesday in April then next following). And now here at this day, to wit, the second Tuesday in April, seventeen hundred and ninety, came the parties afo.s, by their Attorneys afo.s, and the afo.s James Cooke and Mary his wife by their Attorney afo.s relinquish their averment by them in pleading above pretended; and say that they cannot gainsay the Action afo.s of the said James Jaffray; nor but that they have divers goods and chattels which were of the afo.s Benedict Brice at the time of his death, in their hands to be administered, to the value of current money afo.s, and sufficient to pay and satisfy the demand of the said James Jaffray; nor but that they detain ~~the~~ sum of two hundred and thirty one pounds four shillings from the said James Jaffray in manner and form as he above against them has complained. Therefore it is considered by the Court here, that the said James Jaffray do recover against the said James Cooke and Mary his wife, the Debt afo.s in form afo.s acknowledged, and also the Quantity of five hundred and fifty eight pounds of Tobacco, as well for his damages which he hath sustained by occasion of the detention of that Debt, as for his costs and charges by him about his suit in this behalf expended unto the same James Jaffray by the Court here with his assent adjudged: To be levied of the goods and chattels which were of the said Benedict Brice in the hands of the said James Cooke and Mary his wife to be Administered being, if so much in ~~their hands they hereby~~ and if they have not, then the damages and costs afo.s to be levied of the proper goods and chattels of the said James Cooke and Mary his wife: And the said James Cooke and Mary his wife in Mercy &c.

James Earle Clk.