by his Attorney aforesaid, and the aforesaid Barney and Philip by their Attorney aforesaid prayed that a rule of Court be made for the said Charles to file his plea and answer to the Declaration aforesaid of them the said Barney and Philip at the next September Term and it was granted unto them. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid, and by order of Court here, thereon was further continued untill the second Tuesday of September then next following. At which day, to wit, the second Tuesday of September seventeen hundred and eighty nine came again the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, and it was said to the said Charles that he should answer to the Declaration of them the said Barney and Philip by the second Tuesday of April then next following; and it was said to the said Charles that if he did not answer to the Declaration aforesaid by the day aforesaid aforesaid, Judgment should be rendered against him by default: the same day was given to the said Barney and Philip there and &c forth.

And now here at this day, to wit, the second Tuesday of April seventeen hundred and ninety, come again the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, and the said Charles by his Attorney aforesaid defends the force and injury when and &c forth, and saith that he cannot gainsay the Action aforesaid of the said Barney and Philip nor can he deny but that the writing obligatory aforesaid, is the Deed of him the said Charles, nor but that he owes unto the said Barney and Philip the aforesaid sum of one hundred and four pounds and ten pence current money in manner and form as the said Barney and Philip above against him have complained. Therefore it is considered by the Court here, that the said Barney and Philip do recover against the said Charles their Debt aforesaid in form aforesaid acknowledged, as also the Quantity of eight hundred and eight and one fifth pounds of Tobacco, as well for their Damages which they have sustained by occasion of the detention of that Debt, as for their Costs & Charges by them about their suit, in this behalf expended unto the same Barney and Philip by the Court here with their Assent adjudged &c. And the



said Charles in mercy &c. — James Earle Clk.

Judgment was confessed in this Action on the fifteenth day of April one thousand seven hundred and ninety, with a Stay of Execution till September Term then next following. Penalty to be released on Payment of Principal, Interest and Costs.

---

William Ireland Admr DBN of Jonathan Worth
vs
John Sutton

Memorandum, the Defendant in this Cause by William Tilghman his Attorney, sued out the State of Maryland's Writ of Habeas Corpus, the Tenor whereof ensues in the following words, to wit.

The State of Maryland, Lcs. To the Justices and Sheriff of Kent County, Greeting. Whereas a certain John Sutton is detained in the prison of our said County, under the custody of you, our said Sheriff, at the suit of a certain Aletha Worth Executrix of Jonathan Worth of a plea of Debt and &c forth: which said plea, as it is said, still depends before you our said Justices, undetermined; and we being willing, for divers causes, that the plea aforesaid, undetermined as aforesaid, should be heard and determined before the Judges of our General Court; therefore we command you that you have the body of the said John Sutton by whatsoever name or names he may be called in the plea aforesaid, together with the cause of his caption and detention, and all things thereunto relating, before the Judges of our General Court to be held for the Eastern Shore of this State, in Talbot County, at the Court house in the same County, the second Tuesday of April next, that our said Judges of our said General Court may further proceed therein, as to Justice appertains and &c forth. And &c fail not at your peril, and have you then and there this Writ. Witness the Honourable Robert Hanson Harrison, Esquire, Chief Judge of our said Court this first day of October Anno Domini 1788.

Issued the 13th day of March Anno Domini 1789.

(Wm Tilghman) James Earle Clk.

At which said day, to wit, the second Tuesday of April seventeen hundred and eighty nine, being the day of the return of the aforegoing Writ, the Justices and Clerk of Kent County in compliance of the Writ aforesaid