come again the said parties Plantiff and Defendant by their Attorneys aforesaid, and the said Defendant by his Attorney aforesaid prays further leave to imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it, and the same day is given to the Plantiff also.— At which said next Court Court, to wit, the twenty first day of July Anno Domini 1788, come again the said parties by their Attorneys aforesaid, and the said Defendant by his Attorney aforesaid prays further leave to imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it, and the same day is given the said Plantiff also— At which said next Court, to wit, the twenty seventh day of October Anno Domini 1788, come again the said Parties by their Attorneys aforesaid, and the said Defendant by his Attorney aforesaid prays further leave to imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it, and the same day is given to the said Plantiff also— At which said next Court, to wit, the twenty third day of March Anno Domini 1789, come again the said parties by their Attorneys aforesaid, and the said Defendant by Robert Wright his Attorney aforesaid produced to the Court here the State's Writ of Habeas Corpus directed to the Justices and Sheriff of Queen Anns County commanding a Record of proceedings to be transmitted to the General Court for the Eastern Shore of Maryland, which said Writ is closed in these words, to wit; The State of Maryland, ss. To the Justices and Sheriff of Queen Anns County, Greeting. Whereas a certain Henry Rochester is detained in the prison of our said County, under the custody of you, our said Sheriff, at the suit of a certain Samuel Keene of a plea of Debt; which said plea as it is said, still depends before you, our said Justices undetermined, and we being willing for divers causes that the plea aforesaid, undetermined as aforesaid, should be heard and determined before the Judges of our General Court; therefore we command you that you have the body of the said Henry Rochester by whatsoever name or names he may be called in the plea aforesaid, together with the cause of his caption and detention, and all things thereunto relating, before the Judges of our General Court, to be held for the Eastern Shore of this State, in Talbot County, at the Court house in the same County, the second Tuesday of April next, that our said Judges of our said General Court may further proceed therein as to Justice appertains wherefore

Hereof fail not at your peril, and have you then and there this Writ. Witness the Honourable Robert Hanson Harrison, Esquire, Chief Judge of our said Court, this first day of October Anno Domini 1788.

Issued the 5th day of November Anno Domini 1788.

Test. James Earle Clk.

Which being read and heard the same is allowed and a Record of the Proceedings thereof ordered to be transmitted to the General Court for the Eastern Shore of Maryland accordingly—

Queen Anns County Court Seal

In Testimony that the foregoing is a true copy from the Record of Proceedings of Queen Anns County Court, I have hereto set my hand and affixed the seal of Queen Anns County this third day of April Anno Domini 1789.

Sam: T. Wright. Clk. Queen Anns Cty. Court.

And now here at this day, to wit, the second Tuesday of April seventeen hundred and eighty nine, came the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, and the said Samuel by his Attorney aforesaid prayed that a rule of Court be made for the said Henry to file his plea and answer to the Declaration aforesaid of him the said Samuel at the next September Term, and it was granted unto him— Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid, and by order of the Court here, thereon was further continued until the second Tuesday of September then next following— At which said second Tuesday of September seventeen hundred and eighty nine came again the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, and it was said to the said Henry that he should answer to the Declaration of him the said Samuel by the second Tuesday of April then next following; and it was said to the said Henry that if he should not answer to the Declaration aforesaid, by the day aforesaid, Judgment should be rendered against him by default; the same day was given to the said Samuel there and forth— Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys