their Attorneys aforesaid; Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid, and by order of the Court here, thereon was further continued until the second Tuesday of April then next following – At which said second Tuesday of April seventeen hundred and eighty nine, came the said Thomas, Nathan and Jacob by their Attorney aforesaid, and suggested to the Court here that Stephen Mapsey was dead and that he died since the last Continuance, to wit, at Kent County aforesaid; Thereupon Joseph Mapsey Admr of all and singular the Goods and Chattels, Rights and Credits which were of the said Stephen at the time of his death in his hands to be administered, came here into Court by Turbutt Wright his Attorney and was made Defendant in the place of the said Stephen deceased; Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid, and by order of the Court here, thereon was further continued until the second Tuesday of September then next following – At which said second Tuesday of September seventeen hundred and eighty nine, came again the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid; and the said Joseph by his Attorney aforesaid, saith that the said Thomas, Nathan and Jacob their Action aforesaid thereof against him to have and maintain ought not, because he saith that he hath fully administered all and singular the Goods and Chattels which were of the said Stephen at the time of his death in his hands to be administered; and that he hath not, nor at the day of the Impetration of the original Writ of the said Thomas, Nathan and Jacob in this Cause, nor at any time since, had any Goods and Chattels which were of the said Stephen at the time of his death in his hands to be administered, whereby the said Joseph, the claim of the said Thomas, Nathan and Jacob or any part thereof to the said Thomas, Nathan and Jacob could pay or satisfy: and this he is ready to verify; wherefore he prays Judgment whether the said Thomas, Nathan and Jacob their Action aforesaid thereof against him to have or maintain ought &c. And the said Thomas, Nathan, and Jacob by their Attorney aforesaid say that by any thing above pretended from having and maintaining their Action aforesaid thereof against the said Joseph they ought not to be precluded, because they say that the said Joseph at the time of the Impetration of the original Writ of them the said Thomas, Nathan and Jacob in this Cause, to wit, on the day of seventeen hundred and had divers Goods and Chattels which were of the said Stephen at the time of his death in his hands to be administered, to the Value of current money and sufficient to pay and satisfy the demand of the said Thomas, Nathan and Jacob, to wit, at the County aforesaid, and this he prays may be confined of by the

Country &c. and the Joseph in like manner &c. Whereupon this cause standing ready for Trial, further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid, and by order of the Court here, thereon was further continued until the second Tuesday of April then next following – At which said second Tuesday of April seventeen hundred and ninety, came again the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid; Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties and their Attorneys aforesaid, and by order of the Court here, thereon was further continued until the second Tuesday of September then next following – And now here at this day, to wit, the second Tuesday of September seventeen hundred and ninety, come again the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, and the said Joseph Admr as aforesaid by his Attorney aforesaid, relinquishing the Averment aforesaid, above by him pretended, saith that the said Thomas, Nathan and Jacob ought not to be precluded from having and maintaining their said Action thereof against him, because he saith that he cannot deny but that the said Stephen at the time of his death did owe to the said Thomas, Nathan and Jacob the said sum of two hundred and twelve pounds five shillings current money as the said Thomas, Nathan and Jacob above against him have complained – Therefore it is considered by the Court here, that the said Thomas, Nathan and Jacob do recover against the said Joseph Admr as aforesaid as well the sum of money aforesaid their Debt aforesaid, as also the Quantity of six hundred and sixty four and four fifths pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto them on their Agent adjudged for their Costs and Charges by them about their suit in this behalf laid out and expended, to be levied of the Goods and Chattels, Rights and Credits of the said Stephen at the time of his death and which shall hereafter come to the hands of the said Joseph Admr as aforesaid to be administered and the said Joseph in money &c.

James Earle Clk.

Judgment was confessed in this Action on the twenty third day of September seventeen hundred and ninety, when A Sette beyond prior Judgments for Penalty and Costs; to be released on payment of Principal, Interest and Costs.

John Beale Bordley's Lessee
vs
Benjamin Binny - - - -

Eastern Shore of Maryland, Kent County, to wit. Benjamin Binny late of Kent County Gentleman was attached to answer unto Richard Smyth of a plea, wherefore with force and arms he entered into all that Tract or parcel of Land called Britaight with the Appurtenances situate in Kent County aforesaid, being part of a larger Tract of Land called Partnership situate in the same County also one other Tract or parcel of Land called Stepney with the Appurtenances situate in the County aforesaid which John Beale Bordley demised to the said Richard for a