April Term 1793.

Valentine Green
against
Susanna & Rachel Elliott
Executrices of John Elliott

Be it remembered that on the third day of May in the year seventeen hundred & ninety two, the State of Maryland Justices of Caroline County Court transmitted to the Judges of our General Court here, the Record and proceedings of an Appeal made by Valentine Green on a Judgment obtained against him in the said County Court; which said Record here enures.

Caroline County, to wit. At a County Court of the State of Maryland at Denton in the said County in pursuance of an Act of Assembly entitled "an Act for the better Administration on the first Monday being the fifth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety two before the Honorable John Done Esquire and his Associates, Justices of the said County Court, of whom were Present John Done Esq.r Chief Justice, William Richardson, Henry Downes Esq.rs Associates. Peter Edmondson Esq.r Shff. The Richardson & Susannah & Rachel Elliott Executors of John Elliott ag.t Valentine Green. } Caroline County Sct. Command was given to the Sheriff of Caroline County the eighth day of May seventeen hundred and eighty seven that he should take Valentine Green late of Caroline County, Planter, if he should be found in his Bailiwick, so that he might have his body before the Justices of our County Court, to be held at Melvill's Warehouse on the Monday before the third Tuesday of June next to answer unto Susannah and Rachel Elliott Executrix of the last Will and Testament of John Elliott, deceased, of a plea of Trespass upon the Case &c. &c. And that thereof he the said Sheriff should not fail &c. &c.

At which said Monday before the third Tuesday in June came the ap.d Plaintiffs by James Tilghman their Attorney; and the

April Term 1793.

Sheriff of the Caroline County to whom the said writ was directed also came and made return thereof then endorsed, viz.t "Exp.d Wm Bancker Shff." and the ap.d Valentine being called upon appeared, and by William Hayward his Attorney came and defended the force and injury when &c. &c. and prayed liberty of imparlance thereof here until the Monday before the third Tuesday in October next, and he had it; the same day was given to the ap.d Plaintiffs here &c. &c. At which said Monday before the third Sunday in October came the ap.d Plaintiffs by their said Attorney, and the ap.d Defendant by his said Attorney: And the ap.d Defendant by his said Attorney prayed further liberty of imparlance thereof here until the Monday before the third Sunday in March next, and he had it; the same day was given to the ap.d Plaintiffs here &c. &c. At which said Monday before the third Sunday in March seventeen hundred and eighty eight came the ap.d Plaintiffs by their said Attorney and the ap.d Defendant by his said Attorney; and the ap.d Defendant by his said Attorney prayed further liberty of imparlance thereof here until the Monday before the third Tuesday in June next, and he had it; the same day was given to the ap.d Plaintiffs here &c. &c. At which said Monday before the third Tuesday in June came the ap.d Plaintiffs by their said Attorney and the ap.d Defendant by his said Attorney; and the ap.d Defendant by his said Attorney prayed further liberty of imparlance thereof here until the Monday before the third Sunday in October next and he had it; the same day was given to the ap.d Plaintiffs here &c. &c. At which said Monday before the third Sunday in October came the ap.d Plaintiffs by their said Attorney, and the ap.d Defendant by his said Attorney; and the ap.d Defendant by his said Attorney prayed further liberty of imparlance thereof here until the Monday before the third Tuesday in March next, and he had it; the same day was given to the ap.d Plaintiffs here &c. &c. At which said Monday before the third Sunday in March Seventeen hundred and eighty nine came the ap.d Plaintiffs by their said Attorney, and the ap.d Defendant by his said Attorney; and the ap.d Defendant by his said Attorney prayed further liberty