why the aſd James O'Bryon his Execution against him for the Debt, Damages, and Costs aſd to have ought not according to the form of the recovery aſd if unto him it shall seem meet, & further to do and receive all and singular those things which our Judges aſd shall then and there in this part adjudge concerning him: And have you then and there the Names of those before whom you shall make the same known unto him & this Writ.

Witness the honorable Robert Goldsborough Esquire one of the Judges of our General Court this thirteenth day of September Anno Domini 1791. Issued the 23d. day of March 1792.

Wm. James Earle Clk.

Judgment was rendered in this Action on the 17th. day of September 1793 for Penalty & Costs — To be released on the payment of Principal, Interest and Costs — payments to be allowed — Stay of Execution 'till 17th. day of November next. —

The form intended to be used in making this Judgment file is agreable to the one used in folio 595. —

Test James Earle Junr. Clk

James O'Bryon against William Bruff

The Judgment & proceedings in this Case are the same as in the preceding one against James Bruff — James Earle Jr. Clk

Mary Nicholson, Elizabeth Nicholson & William Charles Neill their Lessee against William Hemsley.

The Eastern Shore of Maryland, Talbot County, to wit. —

Peter Wright late of Talbot County, planter, was Attached to answer unto Titus Justright of a plea wherefore with force & Arms and so forth, into all that Tract a parcel of plantable Land lying and being in the County aſd called Hopton ~~or Hoptown, containing~~

otherwise called Hopton or Hoptown, containing three hundred and twenty Acres of Land with its appurtenances, which Mary Nicholson, Elizabeth Nicholson & William Charles Neill to him the said Titus demised for a Term not yet past; and also with force and Arms and so forth into all that other Tract a parcel of plantable Land lying and being in the County aſd called Hopton, otherwise called Hopton or Hoptown, containing three hundred and twenty Acres of Land with its appurtenances, one undivided third part of which same last mentioned Tract a parcel of plantable Land with its appurtenances the same Mary Nicholson to the same Titus demised for a Term not yet past; one other undivided third part of which same last mentioned Tract a parcel of plantable Land with its appurtenances the same Elizabeth Nicholson to the same Titus demised for a Term not yet past; one other undivided third part of which same last mentioned Tract a parcel of plantable Land with its appurtenances the same William Charles Neill to the same Titus demised for a Term not yet past, he the said Peter did enter and him the same Titus from his Farm aſd did eject and other wrong to him the same Titus then and there did to the great damage of him the said Titus, & against the Peace, Government and Dignity of the State of Maryland and so forth — And whereupon the same Titus Justright by Robert Wright his Attorney complains that whereas the aſd Mary Nicholson, Elizabeth Nicholson and William Charles Neill on the second day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety one, at Talbot County aſd had demised to the said Titus Justright the same first mentioned Tract a parcel of Land with the Appurtenances thereunto belonging; to have and to occupy the same premises with the Appurtenances to him the same Titus Justright and his Assigns from the first day of January then last past until the full end and term of seven years then next following, fully to be complete and ended; and whereas also, the said Mary Nicholson on the second day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety one, at Talbot County aſd had demised to the same Titus Justright one undivided third part of the last mentioned Tract a parcel of Land with the Appurtenances thereunto belonging, to have & to occupy the same premises with the Appurtenances