default nor doe further prosecute his Action &c against the aft Horatio Edmunds nor will plead &c Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the said Timothy Goodright do recover against the said Horatio his Damages by him sustained by reason of the Detainer aft, but he for his false Clamor be in mercy &c And that the said Horatio go thereof without day &c And it is further considered by the Court here that the said Horatio do recover against the said Timothy Goodright do recover & the Quantity of five hundred and fifty Eight pounds of Tobacco by the Court here ajudged the said Horatio for his Costs and Charges by him about his defence in this behalf by him laid out and Expended: and that he have Execution &c

Test James Tilghman Clk

Judgment of Nonpros as to the 19th of April Anno Domini 1797

Andrew Buchanan against William S Bond & Alexander Elwood

Be it Remembered that on the fourth day of April Anno Domini 1795 Command was given to the Sheriff of Talbot County that he should take William Stoddert Bond & Alexander Elwood late of Talbot County Gentlemen if they should be found in his Bailiwick and them safe keep so that he might have their Bodies before the Judges of the General Court to be held at Easton in Talbot County the second Tuesday of April Instant to answer unto Andrew Buchanan of a plea of Trespass upon the Case &c And that he should not fail at his peril and then & there he should have this Writ &c At which said second Tuesday of April Anno Domini 1795 being the day of the return of the aforegoing Writ comes the said Andrew Buchanan by Philip B Key his Attorney and the Sheriff of Talbot County to whom the aforegoing Writ was directed makes return that by virtue of the same he has the Body of the within named William S Bond ready in Court as he answers Dan'l Piper Esqr Chief Justice whereupon comes into Court the said William S Bond by Thomas James Pattison his Attorney and defends the force and injury when &c And prays leave to imparle until the next Court and he hath it, the same day is given to the said Andrew Buchanan then &c At which said next Court came to the second Tuesday of September Anno Domini 1795 come again the parties by their Attorneys aforesaid, and the said Andrew Buchanan by his Attorney declares in his plea aforesaid as follows to wit State of Maryland Talbot County Gentlemen was attached to answer unto Andrew Buchanan

of a plea of Trespass on the Case &c And whereupon the said Andrew by Philip B Key his Attorney complains that whereas the said William on the first day of January in the year One thousand seven hundred and ninety six at the County aforesaid was indebted to the said Andrew in the sum of five hundred pounds Current Money for the like sum of Money by the said William before that time had and received to the use of the said Andrew and being so thereof indebted afterwards to wit on the day and year aforesaid at the County aforesaid in Consideration thereof upon himself & promised and the said Andrew then and there faithfully promised that he the said Contents would pay & satisfy the said Andrew the aforesaid sum of Money when afterwards he should be thereto requested Nevertheless the said William at the several times requested & the said sum of Money or any part thereof to the said Andrew hath not paid but the same or any part thereof to Contents or pay at the times so promised or within the days and year aforesaid at the County aforesaid or at any time since but he hath refused and still doth refuse to the Damage of the said Andrew as he says & therefore he brings this suit &c John Doe Philip B Key Attorney for Plff Richard Roe

And the said Bond by his Attorney aforesaid prays leave of the Court to imparle until the next Court, and he hath it the same day given to the said Andrew Buchanan then &c At which said next Court to wit the second Tuesday of April Anno Domini 1796 comes again into Court the parties by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said Bond by his Attorney prays further leave of the Court to imparle until the next Court & the like writ, the same day is given to the said Andrew Buchanan then &c At which said next Court to wit the second Tuesday of September Anno Domini 1796 comes again the parties by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said Bond by his Attorney prays further leave of the Court to imparle until the next Court and he hath it the same day is given to the said Andrew Buchanan then &c At which said next Court to wit the second Tuesday of April Anno Domini 1797 comes again into Court here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said Buchanan by his Attorney aforesaid for his declaration in this behalf says the following Account to wit . . . . . .