Defendants by William Silgsman, their Attorney, also came here into Court. Thereupon further process of and upon the Premises between these Parties, with the Consent of the said Parties and their said Attornies, and by an Order of Court thereon, was continued to the second Tuesday in April, then next. — At which second Tuesday in April, to wit, in the year Seventeen hundred and ninety three, came the said Parties by their Attorneys aforesd, and the said Plaintiffs by their said Attorneys prayed that the said Defendants should be ruled to file their Plea in answer to the Writ of Scirefacias aforesd, on or before the second Tuesday in September, then next, or that on default thereof Judgment should be rendered against them; and it was granted unto him; the same Day was given to them the said Plaintiffs, there &c. — At which second Tuesday in September, to wit, in the year Seventeen hundred and ninety three, came again the said Parties by their Attorneys aforesd, and the said Defendants by their plea filed here in Court by their Attorney aforesd, said, that the said James Gordon, Henry Riddell, John Campbell, John Campbell, Junior, Alexander Love and William Ingram; Execution against them of the aforesaid Debt, Damages, Costs & Charges of the Goods & Chattels which were of the said James Fulton at the time of his Death, in their Hands to be administered being, to have ought not, because they say that they have fully administered all the Goods & Chattels which were of the said James Fulton at the time of his Death, and that they have no Goods or Chattels which were of the said James Fulton at the time of his Death, in their Hands to be administered, nor had they on the day of the Suing of the aforesaid Writ of Scirefacias, nor ever afterwards; and this they are ready to verify &c. — Wherefore they pray Judgment if the said Plaintiffs, Execution against them of the Debt, Damages, Charges & Costs aforesaid of the Goods & Chattels which were of the said James Fulton at the time of his Death in their Hands to be administered being, to have ought &c. — Thereupon further process of and upon the Premises between the Parties aforesd, with the consent of the said Parties and their Attornies aforesd, and by an Order of Court thereon, was further continued to the second Tuesday in April, then next. — And now here at the said second Tuesday in April, to wit, in the year Seventeen hundred and ninety four, came again the said Parties by their Attorneys aforesd, and the said Samuel & John Fulton

Administrators of the said James Fulton, as aforesd, by their Attorney aforesd, say that they cannot gainsay the complaint of them the said Plaintiffs, nor can they deny that the said Recognizance, mentioned in the said Writ of Scirefacias, was the Act & Deed of him the said James Fulton in his life time. — Whereupon it is adjudged by the Court here that the said Plaintiffs have their Execution for the Debt, Damages and several Costs & Charges, mentioned in the said Writ of Scirefacias, and also for the further Quantity of Seven hundred and forty two pounds of Tobacco, for his further Costs & Charges by him about his suit in this behalf expended; agreeable to the following agreement filed. — James Gordon & others — against Samuel & John Fulton, Admrs of James Fulton, Special Bail of Daniel Frank, Health Hands, or which shall hereafter come to their Hands, subject to the Plaintiffs Judgment against James Fulton, in his life time in a legal Course of Administration: — It is agreed that the Judgment in this Cause shall not affect the Defendants, beyond the amount of the Assets which have come to their Hands, subject to the Plaintiffs — A. Martin for Pltff. — Wm Silgsman for Defendants.

April 11. 1794 — James Earle, Junior Clk

Judgment was confessed in this Action on the 11th day of April, Anno Dominni 1794. for the Sum of £600. Current money & 780 ℔. and 581 ½ pounds of Tobacco, and the Costs of this Suit. — To be released on payment of £ 241..14..4. Current money with Interest thereon from the 2d day of October 1773. till paid — but the Interest from the 4th July 1776. to 3d day of September 1783. to be released. — .. —

Martha Gettings against Sarah Van Dyke, Maria Van Dyke, Mary Crockett and Richard Crockett.

Be it remembered that on the fifteenth day of October Anno Domini Seventeen hundred and ninety, the Plaintiff in this Action, sued out of our Eastern Shore General Court, here at Chestertown Summons in Dower, directed to the Sheriff of Kent County — which ensues as follows. —

The State of Maryland, To the Sheriff of Kent County, Greeting: Command Sarah Vandyke, Maria Vandyke, Mary Crockett and Richard Crockett, late of Kent County, that justly and without delay they render unto Martha Gettings who was the Wife of James Gettings late of Kent County, Gentleman, deceased, —