said Richard and Moses Moffitt, (altho often thereunto required) the aft. sum of money to the said Sluyter Bouchell have not paid but the same to him to pay have altogether refused and still do refuse to the Damage of the said Sluyter Bouchell two thousand pounds current money aforesaid and thereupon he brings suit and so forth.

Wm. Barnett for Plff. Pledges &c. forth John Doe Rich. Roe.

The writing whereof mention is made in the Declaration insinuated in the words following to wit: Know all men by these presents that we Richard and Moses Moffitt of Kent County Farmers am held and firmly bound unto Doct. Sluyter Bouchell in the just and full sum of One thousand pounds current money aft. to be paid to the said Doctr. Bouchell his certain Attorney Heirs Executors Administrators or Assigns to the which payment well and truly to be made and done we bind ourselves our Heirs Executors and Administrators jointly and severally firmly by these presents sealed with our seals and dated this first day of October in the year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and eighty nine 1789. The Condition of the above obligation is such that if the above bounden Richard Moffitt and Moses Moffitt or either of them or either of their Heirs, Executors or Administrators do and shall well and truly pay, cause to be paid, to the above named Doctr. Sluyter Bouchell his certain Attorney Heirs Executors Administrators or Assigns or either of them the just & full sum of five hundred pounds current money at five years payment with ~~legal~~ interest (that is to say) Five hundred pounds on the first day of October each year yearly and every year until the whole is paid, to wit, in half Johannes at three pounds and silver Dollars at seven shillings and six pence each and French Crowns at eight shillings and four pence each English Guineas at five & thirty shillings each as they now pass then this obligation to be void and of none effect otherwise to stand and remain in full force & virtue in Law.

Signed sealed and delivered in presence of Thomas Bouchell Ric. Witherspoon

Richard Moffitt (Seal)
Moses Moffitt (Seal)

And the aforesaid Sluyter Bouchell by his Attorney by his Attorney aft. all does to the Court that the Action aft. so as aft. depending between him the said Sluyter Bouchell Plaintiff and him the said Richard Moffitt and Moses Moffitt Defendants was by Certiorari removed to the General Court for the Eastern Shore of this State at the Court house in Talbot County on the second Tuesday of April next, of all which the said Court for the County of Kent hath legal notice by Certiorari amongst the proceedings of the same Court filed and allowed — In Testimony that the above is a true Copy of the proceedings in the Action aforesaid I have hereunto set my Hand and affixed the Seal of (Seal) Kent County this 5th day of April 1793. Ben Cooper Clk. K.C.

Whereupon came here into Court as well the said Sluyter Bouchell by William Barnett his Attorney as the said Richard & Moses by William Pitchlynn their Attorney and thereupon at the prayer of the said Sluyter Bouchell by his Attorney aft. it was ruled by the Court that the said Richard and Moses should affile their plea or answer to the Declaration aft. by the second Tuesday of September then next following &c. — The same day was given to the Parties aft. then and so forth — At which said second Tuesday of September here came again into Court the Parties aft. by their Attornies aforesaid and thereupon at

the prayer of the said Sluyter Bouchell by his Attorney aft. it was further ruled by the Court that the said Richard and Moses Moffitt should affile their plea by the second Tuesday of April then next &c. — The same day was also given to the Parties aft. then and so forth.

And now at this day to wit: the second Tuesday of April in the year fourteen hundred and ninety four, here come again into Court the parties aft. by their Attornies aft. and the said Richard Moffitt and Moses Moffitt by their Attorney aft. defend the wrong and injury when &c. forth, and say that they cannot gainsay the Action aft. of the said Sluyter Bouchell so as against them brought, nor can they deny but that the writing obligatory aft. is the deed of them the said Richard & Moses, nor but that they owe to the said Sluyter Bouchell the aft. sum of One thousand pounds current money in manner and form as he against them hath complained: Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Sluyter Bouchell do recover against the said Richard Moffitt & Moses Moffitt as well the Debt aft. in form aft. acknowledged, as also the quantity of One thousand and forty five pounds of Tobacco, as well for his Damage which he hath sustained by occasion of the detention of that debt, as for his Costs & Charges by him about his suit in this behalf expended, unto the said Sluyter Bouchell by the Court here with his assent adjudged &c. And the said Richard & Moses in mercy &c. — Judgment was rendered in this Action on the 11th day of April, in the year 1794. To be released on the payment of Principal Int. & Costs Stay Execn. as to all Interest but the last, till next August, and so that to April Term 1795. payment if any to be allowed. — James Earle Clk.

James Ringgold against George Brown

Be it remembered that the Plaintiff by his Attorney impleaded the following writ of Capias ad respondendum to wit: The State of Maryland, &c. To the Sheriff of Kent County Greeting We command you that you take George Brown late of Kent County Planter if he shall be found in your Bailiwick and him safe keep so that you have his body before the Judges of our General Court, to be held at Easton in Talbot County the second Tuesday of April next to answer unto James Ringgold of a plea that he render unto him the sum of Two hundred & forty seven pounds seven shillings and seven pence Current Money of Maryland which to him he owes and from him unjustly detains and detaineth. Hereof fail not at your peril and have you then and there this Writ. Witness the Honorable Samuel Chase Esquire Chief Judge of our said Court, the eleventh day of September Anno Domini 1792. Issued the 20th day of February 1793. Wm. James Earle Clk. At which said second of April in the year fourteen hundred and ninety three, being the day of the return of the aforegoing writ, the Sheriff of Kent County aforesaid to whom the said writ was directed, made return thereof to the Court here with the following return endorsed thereon, to wit: Cepi Bail pice Phil. Reed Shff. Whereupon came here into Court as well the said James Ringgold by James Houston his Attorney, as the said George Brown by Robert Wright his Attorney and the Bail pice returned with the writ aft. being approved of by the said James Ringgold's Attorney the same was accordingly affiled & issues in form following, to wit:

James Ringgold Plff. against George Brown Defdt.

Dr. £247. 7. 6. You Marmaduke Tilden & John Tilden do jointly and severally acknowledge yourselves Special Bail for the said George Brown at the suit of James Ringgold in an Action of Debt brought by the said James Ringgold against the said George Brown in the General Court. They acknowledge themselves