by William Tilghman his Attorney saith that whereas the aforesaid John Sutton on the eighteenth day of March in the year of our Lord Seventeen hundred & eighty nine at County aforesaid by his certain writing obligatory with his seal sealed & to the Court here shewn the date whereof is the same day & year aforesaid acknowledged himself to be held & firmly bound to the aforesaid John Galloway in the sum of five hundred and seventeen pounds one shilling and six pence current money of Maryland to be paid to the said John Galloway when he should be thereto afterwards required. Yet the said John Sutton (altho often thereto afterwards required) the sum of money aforesaid to the said John Galloway hath not paid but the same to him to pay hath hitherto altogether refused & still doth refuse to the damage of the said John Galloway twenty pounds current money aforesaid & thereupon he brings suit &c.

Mr Tilghman. — Pledges &c. John Doe Rich. Roe —

The writing obligatory mentioned in the aforegoing Declaration ensues in manner & form following to wit" Know all men by these presents that I John Sutton of Kent County farmer am held and firmly bound unto John Galloway acting Exor and Trustee under the wills of Thomas Ringgold late of Chester Town Merchant deceased in the full and just sum of five hundred and seventeen pounds one shilling and six pence Current money to be paid unto the said John Galloway his certain Attorney Executors Administrators or Assigns: to the which payment well and truly to be made and done, I bind myself my Heirs, Executors and Administrators jointly and severally firmly by these presents sealed with my seal and dated this eighteenth day of March in the year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred and eighty nine. — The condition of the above obligation is such, that if the above bound John Sutton his Heirs, Executors or Administrators do and shall well and truely pay or cause to be paid unto the said John Galloway his certain Attorney, Executors, Administrators or Assigns the just and full sum of Two hundred and fifty eight pounds ten shillings and nine pence Current money on or before the — with legal Interest thereon then the above Obligation to be void, else to remain in full force and virtue in Law.

Signed sealed and delivered in presence of Samuel Ringgold. — Jno Sutton (Seal)

On the back of the aforegoing bond were the following endorsements, to wit. —

"1789. March 18th Jno Sutton to John Galloway —

To your bond of this date	£258	10	9
To Interest from the date to Jany 6th 1790. being 9 Mo. 18 days	12	8	2
	270	18	11
Then paid	15		
Balance with Interest from Jany 6th 1790	255	17	11
To Interest from 6th Jany 1790. to 2 Novr 1790. 9 Mo. 26 days	12	11	9 3/4
	268	9	8 3/4
Then paid	20	0	0
	248	9	8 3/4
To Interest on £248. 9. 8 3/4 from 2d Novr 90. to 7th Febry 91. 2 Mo. 5 days	2	13	9 7/12
	251	3	6 7/12
Then paid	50	0	0
	201	3	5 7/12

November 2nd 1790. Then received of William Hubey on Acct of John Sutton twenty pounds Michael Earle

February 7th 1790. Recd of John Sutton by the Hands of Isaac Smith fifty pounds — Michael Earle.

And thereupon it was ruled by the Court here at the prayer of the said John Galloway

by his Attorney aforesaid, that the said John Sutton should file his plea in answer to the Declaration of the said John Galloway, by the second Tuesday of April then next: The same day was given to the parties aforesaid, thereof so forth. And now at this day, to wit The second Tuesday of April, in the year Seventeen hundred and ninety four, here come again into Court the Parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid, and the said John Sutton by his Attorney aforesaid defends the wrong and injury when and so forth, and prays that he cannot gainsay the Action aforesaid of the said John Galloway, so as against him brought, nor can he deny but that the writing obligatory aforesaid is the deed of him the said John Sutton, nor but that he owes to the said John Galloway the aforesaid sum of Five hundred and seventeen pounds one shilling and six pence current money in manner and form as the said John Galloway against him hath complained: Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the said John Galloway do recover against the said John Sutton the debt aforesaid in form aforesaid acknowledged & also the quantity of Six hundred & sixty three pounds of Tobacco, as well for his Damages which he hath sustained by occasion of the detention of that debt, as for his Costs and Charges by him about his suit in this behalf expended, and so forth: Let the said John Galloway by the Court here with his assent adjudged &c. And the said John Sutton in mercy &c. James Earle jun. Clk.

Judgment was rendered in this Action on the eleventh day of April Anno Domini 1794. To be released on payment of the principal, Interest and Costs. Stay Execution till next Court. Payments if any to be allowed. —

Philip R Fendall } against James & John Ringgold.

The Plaintiff by William Cooke their Attorney impetrated the State's writ of Capias ad respondendum thereupon whereof ensues in manner & form following, viz. The State of Maryland, L. To the Sheriff of Kent County, Greeting. We command you that you take James Ringgold and John Ringgold late of Kent County Gentlemen otherwise called James Ringgold and John Ringgold if they shall be found in your bailiwick, and them safe keep, so that you have their bodies before the Judges of our General Court to be held at Easton in Talbot County the second Tuesday of April next, to answer unto Philip Richard Fendall of a plea that they the said James and John do render unto him the sum of eight hundred pounds current money of Maryland which to him they owe & unjustly detain &c. Hereof fail not at your peril, and have you then and there this writ. Witness the Honourable Samuel Chase Esquire, chief Judge of our said Court, the 10th day of Septr Anno Domini 1792. Issued the 22d day of February 1793. Wm Harle jun. Clk.

And the said Philip R Fendall by his Attorney, filed his Declaration in the plea aforesaid against the said James & John Ringgold which ensues in manner & form following, viz. State of Maryland Kent County to wit James Ringgold and John Ringgold late of Kent County Gentlemen otherwise called James Ringgold & John Ringgold were summoned to answer Philip Richard Fendall of a plea that they the said James and John do render unto him the said Philip the sum of Eight hundred pounds Current money of Maryland which to him they owe and from him unjustly detain &c. And whereupon the said Philip by William Cooke his Attorney saith