Talbot County, on the second Tuesday of April next, of all which the said Court for the County of Kent hath legal notice by Habeas Corpus amongst the proceedings of the same Court filed and allowed. In Testimony that the above is a true Copy of the proceedings in the cause I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Seal of Kent County this thirtieth day of March 1793.

Benjamin Chambers Clk. K. C.

Whereupon came here into Court as well the said Thomas Anderson & Co. by James Hemsley their Attorney as the said Daniel Groome by William Pilchman his Attorney and thereupon at the prayer of the said Thomas Anderson & Co. by their said Attorney it was ruled by the Court that the said Daniel Groome should file his plea in answer to the Declaration of the said Thomas Anderson & Co. in the second and contained by the second Tuesday of September then next &c. (The same day was given to the Parties and then to appear &c.

At which said second Tuesday of September in the year Seventeen hundred & ninety three came again into Court the Parties and by their Attorneys and thereupon at the prayer of the said Plaintiffs by their Attorney and it was further ruled by the Court that the said Daniel should file his plea as and by the second Tuesday of April then next. The same day was given to the Parties and then &c.

And now at this day, to wit the second Tuesday of April in the year Seventeen hundred and ninety four, here come again into Court the Parties and by their Attorneys and thereupon the said Daniel Groome by his Attorney defends the wrong & injury when &c. and forth and says, that he cannot gainsay the Action of the said Thomas Anderson & Co. was against him brought, nor can he deny but that the writing obligatory and is the Deed of him the said Daniel Groome, nor but that he owes to the said Thomas Anderson & Co. the aforesaid sum of eighty five pounds seventeen shillings & nine pence Current money in manner & form as they against him have complained. Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the said Thomas Anderson & Co. recover against the said Daniel Groome the Debt and in form aforesaid acknowledged, also the quantity of Nine hundred & thirty five pounds of Tobacco, as well for their Damages sustained by reason of the detention of that Debt, as for their Costs & Charges by them in this behalf expended. Unto the said Thomas Anderson & Co. by the Court here with their assent adjudged &c. And the said Daniel Groome in mercy &c.

James Earlington Clk.

Judgment was rendered in this Action on the 11th day of April in the year 1794. To be released on payment of Principal, Interest &c. — Stay Execution till next Court — Payments if any to be allowed.

Matthew Pilchman against Daniel Groome —

Be it remembered that the Defendant by his Attorney imparlied out of the General Court Office for the Eastern Shore of this State the said State's writ of Habeas Corpus, which came in manner & form following, viz.

The State of Maryland &c. To the Justices and Sheriff of Kent County, Greeting. Whereas a certain Daniel Groome, late of Kent County, is detained in the prison of our said County under the custody of you our said Sheriff, at the suit of a certain Matthew Pilchman, of a plea of trespass upon the case, as it is said, still depends before you our said Justices undetermined, and we being willing, for divers causes, that the plea aforesaid, and the cause

as aforesaid should be heard & determined before the Judges of our General Court, therefore we command you that you have the body of the said Daniel Groome, by whatsoever name or names he may be called in the plea aforesaid, together with the cause of his caption and detention, and all things thereunto relating, before the Judges of our General Court, to be held for the Eastern Shore of this State, in Talbot County, at the Court House in the same County, the second Tuesday of April next, that our said Judges of our said General Court may further proceed therein, as to justice appertaineth and so forth — Hereof fail not at your peril, and have you then and there this writ. Witness the honorable Samuel Chase Esquire, chief judge of our said Court the 11th day of April Anno Domini 1792. & the 17th day of March Anno Domini 1793. (3d. Edit.) James Earlington Clk.

At which said second Tuesday of April in the year Seventeen hundred & ninety three, being the day of the return of the aforesaid writ of Habeas Corpus, the Justices & Sheriff of Kent County and to whom the same writ was directed, made return thereof to the Court here, with the following second answer, to wit:

The execution of the above writ appears by the following proceedings — (The answer of James Pilchman Esq. chief Justice of the second district and Samuel Chew & James Lloyd Esqrs. associate Justices of Kent County — We James Pilchman, Samuel Chew & James Lloyd Justices as aforesaid and Philip Reed, Sheriff to the Honorable the Judges of the General Court for the Eastern Shore of the State of Maryland certify that command was given to the Sheriff of Kent County on the fourth monday of October Seventeen hundred & ninety one that he should take the body of Daniel Groome, late of Kent County Farmer if he should be found in his Bailiwick and him safe keep so that he might have his body before the Justices of our next County Court, to be held at the Court House in the Town of Chester in said County on the third Monday of March next, to answer unto Matthew Pilchman of a plea of Trespass on the case &c. At which said third Monday of March Seventeen hundred & ninety four, came the said Matthew Pilchman by Thomas Bedingfield Hands his Attorney and the Sheriff of Kent County also came and made return of the writ aforesaid to the Court here thereon indorsed as follows, to wit: Cepi. Philip Reed Shff. Thereupon James Groome of Kent County Farmer in his proper person here into Court did come and became plege and security for the said Daniel Groome that if it should happen to the said Daniel Groome to be convicted in the plea aforesaid that then the same security granted as well the Damages aforesaid as all the Costs and Charges which to the same Matthew in the plea aforesaid should be adjudged of the Goods and Chattels Lands and Tenements of the said James Groome to be made and for the use of the said Matthew to be levied if it should happen that the said Daniel Groome the Damages & Costs aforesaid of the said Matthew in the plea aforesaid should not pay or himself into the custody of the Sheriff of Kent County on that occasion under, and the said Daniel Groome by William Pilchman his Attorney comes & defends the force & injury when and forth — And the said Matthew by his Attorney afore offered himself against the said Daniel Groome in the plea aforesaid and complained thereof against him in the following words, to wit: Kent County to wit: Daniel Groome late of Kent County Farmer was summoned to answer unto Matthew Pilchman of a plea of trespass on the case &c. And whereupon the said Matthew Pilchman by Thomas Bedingfield Hands his Attorney complains that whereas the aforesaid Daniel Groome had used & occupied a certain tenement