that behalf laid out and expended. as by the Writ and precept thereof in our said Court likewise manifestly appears: and whereas the said James Pearce, hath not paid the Condemnation of the Court in the premises aforesaid, nor rendered his Body to the Custody of the Sheriff of Kent County in Satisfaction thereof, by the information of the said Charles Wallace and John Muir we have caused and because we will that these things which in our said Court are rightfully done and transacted shall be brought to due execution, we Command you that by good and lawful men of your Bailiwick you make known unto the said James Pearce, that he be and appear before the Judges of our said Court at Easton the second Tuesday of April next, to shew Cause if any he hath or knows why the said Charles Wallace and John Muir, their execution of the debt, damages and Costs aforesaid, against him the said James Pearce awarding to the recovery aforesaid, to have execution not ought should not, and have you then and there the names of these presents you shall make this same known unto him and this writ. Witness the Honorable Thomas Jenings Esquire, Chief Judge of our said Court the tenth day of September anno Domini 1793 and the 31st day of March 1794. (Wm) James Earle Jun. Clk

At which said second Tuesday in April term, in the year seventeen hundred and ninety four, being the day for the return of the aforegoing writ here came the said Charles Wallace and John Muir, by William Locke, their attorney and Philip Reed sheriff of the County aforesaid, that Court here maketh return that by virtue of the writ aforesaid, to him directed as aforesaid, he hath made known unto the said James Pearce by Moses Alford, and Benjamin Kersey good and lawful men of the Bailiwick that he be and appear before the Judges aforesaid at the time and place aforesaid, to shew Cause &c. and the said James Pearce by William Feilgnemar his attorney Came here into Court and the said Charles Wallace and John Muir by their attorney aforesaid, prayed that the said James Pearce might be ruled to file his plea in answer to the Scire facias of them the said Charles Wallace & John Muir, on or before the second Tuesday in September then next, or that Judgment or default thereof against the said James Pearce might be awarded; and it was granted unto him: the same day was given to the said Charles Wallace & John Muir then &c. And now here on this day to wit, the second Tuesday in September, in the year Seventeen hundred and ninety four, here Come again the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid, and the said James Pearce by his attorney aforesaid, says that he cannot deny the sum aforesaid, nor that the said sum of money and Quantity of Tobacco aforesaid in the aforegoing writ of Scire facias mentioned, are yet due and unpaid. Whereupon it is considered and adjudged by the Court, that the said Charles Wallace & John Muir, have their execution against the said James Pearce, as well for the sum of money and Quantity of Tobacco aforesaid, as also for the further Quantity of five hundred and sixty nine pounds of Tobacco, which to the said Charles Wallace & John Muir, was adjudged for their additional costs and charges by them about their suit in delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid laid out and expended, and the said James Pearce in mercy &c. James Earle Jun. Clk.

Judgment was confessed in this action on the tenth day of September Anno Domini 1794. for the sum of nine thousand three hundred and thirty three pounds six shillings & eight pence Current money & five hundred & fifty nine Pounds fifteen pounds of Tobacco & Costs. To be released upon payment if any be allowed, by execution &c. Court

Charles Wallace & John Muir against John L. Wilmer. } Be it remembered that the proceedings in this Cause are the same as in the preceding one against James Pearce. James Earle Jun. Clk.

Charles Wallace & John Muir against Robert Buchanan. } Be it remembered that the proceedings in this Cause are the same as in the one against James Pearce folio 636. James Earle Jun. Clk.

State use of Robert Milligan Executor of George Milligan, against Richard T. Earle Executor of Samuel Earle. } Be it remembered that on the fifth day of March anno domini one thousand seven hundred and ninety three, The State of Maryland sent its Writ to the Sheriff of Queen Anns County, in manner and form following to wit, The State of Maryland &c. To the Sheriff of Queen Anns County Greeting: whereas at a Genral Court held for the Eastern Shore of this State, at Easton, in Talbot County, the second Tuesday of April in the year seventeen hundred and ninety, the State of Maryland by Consideration of the same Court obtained her execution of a certain Judgment, against Samuel Earle, late of Queen Anns County, for as well the sum of three thousand pounds Current money a certain debt, together with the Quantity of seven hundred and twenty eight pounds of Tobacco and the further sum of four hundred and seventy seven and two fifths pounds of Tobacco, the additional costs, of that suit, as by the Record and proceedings in our said Court manifestly appears: and altho' Judgment thereof hath been rendered as aforesaid, Execution Nevertheless of the debt, damages and costs aforesaid remaineth to be done: and whereas also on the part of the State of Maryland we have in our said Court, before the Judges thereof likewise understood, that the same Samuel Earle at the County aforesaid is since dead, having before his said decease duly made his last will and Testament in writing and thereby appointed Richard Tilghman Earle the Executor thereof, whereupon the said State of Maryland, hath besought us to grant her a proper remedy in this behalf, and we being willing that what is just in this part