Heirs, Executors Administrators or Assigns the just and full sum of three hundred and eighty pounds Specie on or before the first day of October next, with legal interest thereon from the first day of October next, with legal interest thereon from the date hereof for value of them received. As witness my hand and Seal this thirty first day of August 1791.

Teste John Cary. — Emory Sudler Junr. (Seal)

Copies of the foregoing Declaration & Bill obligatory were made & sent with the writ to be served on the Defendant twenty days before Court for Judgment or speedy trial according to the directions of the Plaintiffs Attorny. — At which aforesaid day, to wit The second Tuesday of April in the year Seventeen hundred and ninety five, being the day of the return of the aforesaid writ, here came into Court the said Walter Stewart by his Attorny aforesaid, and the Sheriff of Queen Anns County to whom the same writ was directed, made return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed, to wit "Cepi Phil C Blake." Whereupon the aforesaid Emory Sudler came here into Court by Richard Earle his Attorny, and thereupon at the prayer of the said Walter Stewart by his Attorny aforesaid it was ruled by the Court here that the said Emory Sudler should give Special bail in the plaintiff thereupon the said Emory by his said Attorny filed the following Bail piece & power of Atty. to wit.

Walter Stewart surviving Partner of Alexander Nesbit Plaintiff, against Emory Sudler Junior Defendant. — (You Philip Fiddeman & John King Downes of Queen Anns County do jointly and severally acknowledge yourselves Special Bail for the said Emory Sudler Junior at the suit of the said Walter Stewart, surviving Partner of Alexander Nesbit in an action of Debt, brought by the said Walter Stewart surviving Partner of Alexander Nesbit against the said Emory Sudler Junr., in the General Court. They acknowledge themselves to be content therewith, this fifteenth day of April 1795. before Richmond.

To the honorable the Judges of the General Court. I do hereby authorise Richard Earle Esqr. to appear for me in the above action. — Emory Sudler Junr.

Whereupon at the further prayer of the said Walter Stewart by his Attorny aforesaid, it was ruled by the Court here that the said Emory Sudler should file his plea in answer to the Declaration of him the said Walter by the second Tuesday of September next; the same day was given to the Parties aforesaid thereof &c forth. — At which said second Tuesday of September, here came again into Court the Parties aforesaid by their Attornys aforesaid, and at the prayer of the said Walter Stewart by his Attorny aforesaid it was further ruled by the Court here that the said Emory Sudler should file his plea in answer to the Declaration of the said Walter by the second Tuesday of April then next. The same day was also given to the Parties aforesaid, thereof &c forth. — And now at this day to wit: The second Tuesday of April, in the year Seventeen hundred & ninety six here come again into Court the Parties aforesaid by their Attornys aforesaid, and the said Emory Sudler by his Attorny aforesaid defends the wrong & injury when &c forth, and says that he cannot gainsay the action of the said Walter Stewart surviving Partner as aforesaid of the said Alexander Nesbit, nor can he deny but that the bill obligatory mentioned in the Declaration aforesaid is the deed of him the said Emory Sudler, nor but that he owes to him the aforesaid Walter Stewart survivor as aforesaid the aforesaid sum of three hundred and eighty pounds current money in manner and form as the said Walter against him hath complained: Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the said Walter Stewart surviving Partner as aforesaid, recover against the said Emory Sudler the debt aforesaid in form aforesaid acknowledged; and also the quantity of six hundred & thirty one pounds of Tobacco for his Costs & charges by him about his suit in this behalf expended: Unto the said Walter Stewart by the Court here with his assent adjudged &c forth. And the said Emory Sudler in mercy &c.

James Earle Junr. Clk.

Judgment was rendered in this Action on the 15th day of April Anno Domini 1796. Payments if any to be allowed. Stay of Execution till next Court. —

Anna Maria & James Hollyday Exrs of Henry who was Exor of James Hollyday against Ann, Edward & John King Downes surviving Executors of Charles Downes. —

The State of Maryland, to the Sheriff of Queen Anns County, Greeting: Whereas a certain Anna Maria Hollyday and James Hollyday Executors of the Testament and last will of Henry Hollyday, deceased, who was Executor of James Hollyday deceased, lately to wit, the second Tuesday of April, seventeen hundred and ninety one, before the Judges of our General Court, held for the Eastern Shore of this State, at Easton, in Talbot County, by Consideration of the same Court had recovered Judgment against Ann Downes, Edward Downes & John King Downes, surviving Executors of the Testament and last will of Charles Downes, late of Queen Anns County deceased, for as well the sum of four hundred and twenty eight pounds current money, a certain debt, as also the quantity of five hundred and seventy three pounds and one fifth of a pound of Tobacco, which unto the same Anna Maria Hollyday and James Hollyday Executors as aforesaid was adjudged, as well for the damages which they had sustained by occasion of the detention of that debt, as for their Costs and Charges by them about their suit in that behalf laid out and expended, whereof the same Ann, Edward and John King Downes surviving Executors as aforesaid are convicted as of record is manifest. And altho' Judgment thereof hath been rendered as aforesaid Execution nevertheless of the debt, damages and Costs aforesaid still remaineth to be done; wherefore the said Anna Maria Hollyday and James Hollyday Executors as aforesaid, have besought us to grant them a proper remedy in this behalf, and We being willing that what is just in this part should be done, do therefore Command you that by good and lawful men of your bailiwick you make known unto the said Ann Downes, Edward Downes and John King Downes Executors as aforesaid, that they be and appear before the Judges of our General Court, to be holden for the Eastern Shore of this State, at Easton, in Talbot County, the second Tuesday of April next, to shew cause if any they know why the said Anna Maria Hollyday & James Hollyday Executors as aforesaid ought not to have their Execution against them the said Ann Downes, Edward Downes, & John King Downes surviving Executors aforesaid for the debt and damages aforesaid of the Goods and Chattels which belonged to the said Charles Downes at the time of his death, in the hands of the said Ann Downes, Edward Downes and John King Downes to be administered being, according to the force form and effect of the recovery aforesaid, if to them it shall seem meet; and further to do and receive all and singular those things which our Judges aforesaid shall then and there consider in this behalf concerning them: And have you then and there the names of those before whom you shall make the same known unto them and this writ. Witness the Honorable Samuel Chase Esquire Chief Judge of our said Court the eighth day of September 1794. Issued the 5th day of March 1795. (NB.)

James Earle junr. Clk.

At which said second Tuesday of April in the year Seventeen hundred & ninety five, being the day of the return of the foregoing writ of Scire facias, here came into Court the aforesaid Plaintiffs by Nicholas Hammond their Attorny, and the Sheriff of Queen Anns County to whom the same writ was directed, made return that he had made known the same to the aforesaid John & Ann Downes before John Brown & Henry Austin agreeable to the exigence of the same writ But that he had not made the same known unto the aforesaid Edward. Whereupon the aforesaid Defendants came here into Court by Robert Wright their Attorny, and thereupon the process upon the premises between the said Parties was by order of the Court continued till the second Tuesday in September then next. At which said second Tuesday of September in the year last aforesaid here came again into Court the parties aforesaid by their Attornys aforesaid, and at the prayer of the Plaintiffs by their Attorny it was ruled by the Court here that the aforesaid Defendants should file their plea to the writ aforesaid