for their damages which they had sustained by occasion of the non performance of certain promises and assumptions there before that time by the said Simon to the said Charles and John made; as also the quantity of six hundred and forty three pounds of Tobacco which unto the said Charles & John was then and there by our said Court adjudged, for their Costs and charges by them about their suit in that behalf laid out and expended whereof the said Simon Wilmer is convicted as of Record is manifest as also the sum of thirty six pounds ten shillings and nine pence, current money Damages and the further quantity of one thousand one hundred and thirty six pounds of Tobacco which to the said Charles & John by the Court of Appeals was adjudged for their Costs and charges which they had sustained by reason of the delay of the Execution on the Judgment aforesaid by pretence of prosecuting a writ of Error before the said Court of Appeals by him the said Simon Wilmer of and upon the said Judgment prosecuted, whereon that Judgment was by the said Court of Appeals in all things affirmed; and whereof the said Simon Wilmer is convicted, as by the Record of proceedings from the said Court of Appeals is manifest. And whereas at the said second Tuesday in April seventeen hundred and ninety eight, the said Benjamin Hatchison Sheriff as aforesaid, made return to our Judges that he had taken the body of the said Simon Wilmer of Edward as by our writ he was commanded, and the solemnly called to bring into Court the body of the said Simon Wilmer, which he ought to have had there according to the Tenor of his return aforesaid, came not but therein did make default whereupon Judgment by default was rendered against him the said Benjamin Hatchison for the Damages & Costs aforesaid and the additional Costs thereon We therefore Command you, that you take the body of the said Benjamin Hatchison and him safe keep so that you may have his body before the Judges of our General Court at Easton, on the second Tuesday in April next, to satisfy unto the said Charles Ghequire and John Holmes the Damages and several Costs & Charges aforesaid. Thereof fail not at your peril and have you then and there this writ. Witness the honorable Robert Goldsborough Esquire Chief Judge of our said Court the 11th day of September anno dominie 1798 Issued this 11th day of January 1799. — James Earle Junr Clk

At which said second Tuesday of April seventeen hundred & ninety nine being the day for the return of the aforesaid writ, comes into the General Court here the said Ghequire and Holmes by their Attorney aforesaid, And the Coroner of Kent County aforesaid to whom the aforegoing writ was directed makes return thereof to the Court here as follows to wit: Satisfied p[illegible] atty. to amount of £70 0 0 3. the surplus balance of this Judgment. Philip Taylor Coroner.

James Earle Junr Clk

John Woolfenden use of James Usher Executor of Abraham against Samuel Blunt —

Be it remembered that the said John Woolfenden by Nicholas Hammond his Attorney prosecuted of and sued forth out of the General Court here the following writ of Capias ad satisfaciendum to wit: The State of Maryland To the Sheriff of Queen Anne's County, Greeting.

You are hereby commanded to take Samuel Blunt late of Queen Anne's if he shall be found in your Bailiwick, and him safe keep, so that you have his body before the Judges of the next General Court, to be held for the Eastern Shore of this State at Easton in Talbot County the second Tuesday in April next; to satisfy unto John Woolfenden, as well a certain Debt of Two hundred and twenty two pounds, twelve shillings three pence current money, and the sum of Two hundred pounds Damages which he the said John Woolfenden had sustained by reason of the detention of the Debt aforesaid which the same John Woolfenden recovered at a General Court held for the Eastern shore of the same State on the second Tuesday of April anno dominie seventeen hundred and ninety seven before the Judges of the same Court against the said Samuel Blunt; as also the quantity of seven hundred and fifteen pounds of Tobacco which unto the said John Woolfenden was adjudged for his damages which he had sustained, as well by occasion of the detention of that Debt as for his Costs and charges by him about his suit in that behalf expended whereof the aforesaid Samuel Blunt is convicted, as of Record is manifest. Thereof fail not at your peril and have you then and there this writ. Witness the honorable Robert Goldsborough Esquire Chief Judge of our said Court the 11th day of September anno dominie 1798.

Issued the 7th day of February 1799. James Earle Junr Clk

At which said second Tuesday in April seventeen hundred and ninety nine being the day for the return of the aforesaid writ, comes into the General Court here the said John Woolfenden by his Attorney aforesaid, and the Sheriff of Queen Anne's County aforesaid, to whom the same writ was directed makes return thereof to the Court here as follows to wit: "Satisfied p[illegible] atty" Test James Earle Junr Clk

---

John Woolfenden, use of James Usher, Exor of Abraham Usher.

against

Henry Costin —

Be it remembered that the said John Woolfenden by Nicholas Hammond his attorney, prosecuted and sued forth out of the General Court here the following writ of Capias ad satisfaciendum to wit: The State of Maryland, To the Sheriff or Coroner of Queen Anne's County Greeting: Whereas Henry Costin Esquire, Sheriff of the County aforesaid was by our writ of Capias ad satisfaciendum, heretofore to him directed, commanded that he should take Samuel Blunt late of Queen Anne's County if he should be found in his Bailiwick and him safe keep, so that he might have his body before the Judges of our Eastern Shore General Court, at Easton in Talbot County the second Tuesday in September then next, to satisfy unto John Woolfenden as well a certain Debt of two hundred and twenty two pounds twelve shillings and two pence current money, and the sum of two hundred pounds current money for his Damages which he had sustained by reason of the detention of that Debt, which the same John Woolfenden recovered against him in our said Court at Easton in Talbot County, on the second Tuesday in April anno dominie 1797 before the Judges of