Judgments.

David Kerr against **James R. Pratt**

Be it Remembered, that David Kerr by Thomas J. Bullitt his attorney, prosecuted hence forth out of the General Court here, the writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum, directed to the Sheriff of Queen Anns County in the following words & figures towit. The State of Maryland &c. to the Sheriff of Queen Anns County Greeting: We command you that you take James Roe Pratt late of Queen Anns County, yeoman, if he shall be found in your Bailiwick and him safe keep, so that you have his body before the Judges of our General Court to be held at Easton in Talbot County the second Tuesday of September next to answer unto David Kerr, of a plea that he render unto him the sum of one hundred pounds current money which to him he owes and from him unjustly detains and so forth. Hereof fail not at your peril and have you then and there this writ. Witness the Honorable Jeremiah T. Chase Esquire Chief Judge of our said Court the ninth day of April anno Dominie 1799.

Issued the 23. day of August 1799. Ja. Harleyjnr. Clk.

And now here towit, the second Tuesday of September seventeen hundred & ninety nine, being the day for the return of the foregoing writ, comes into the General Court here the said David Kerr by Thomas J. Bullitt his attorney and the Sheriff of Queen Anns County afs, to whom the said writ was in form afs directed makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed towit. "Cepi Henry Forten Shff. Queen Anns County." And the said James R. Pratt being called appears in Court here by William Tunnichiff his attorney whereupon the said David Kerr by his attorney afs prays leave to amend the writ afs by the Declaration to be filed in this cause and it is granted him — And the said David Kerr by his attorney afs declares against the said James R. Pratt in the plea afs as follows towit. State of Maryland, Eastern Shore Queen Anns County towit. James Roe Pratt late of Queen Anns County Yeoman, was attached to answer unto David Kerr in a plea of Trespass on the Case &c. And whereupon the said David by Thomas J. Bullitt his attorney complains that whereas the afs James Roe Pratt on the thirtieth day of May in the year seventeen hundred and ninety eight at Queen Anns County afs was indebted unto the said David Kerr, in the sum of Three hundred pounds current money for so much like money then before that time by the said Kerr, to him the said James R. Pratt lent & accomodated at the special instance & request of him the said James R. Pratt being so thereof indebted the aforesaid James Roe Pratt in consideration thereof afterwards towit, on the day and year afs at the County afs undertook and unto the said Kerr, then and there faithfully promised that he the said Pratt the afs sum of money would well and truly content & pay to the said Kerr when afterwards he should be thereunto requested. Nevertheless the afs James Roe Pratt, his promise and assumption afs in form afs made, not regarding but minding & fraudulently intending the said Kerr in this part craftily & subtilly to deceive & defraud the afs sum of money or any part thereof to him the said David hath not paid, although

the same Pratt by the same Kerr was thereto requested, on the day and year afs & often afterwards at this County afs; but the same sum of money or any part thereof to pay to the said Kerr the same Pratt hitherto altogether hath refused and still doth refuse to the damage of the same Kerr five hundred pounds current money & therefore he brings suit and so forth. Thos. J. Bullitt for Plff — pledges &c. John Doe & Richd. Roe.

And the said James R. Pratt by his attorney afs defends the force and injury when &c. & saith that he cannot deny the action afs, nor but that he did undertake & promise in manner and form as the said David Kerr hath above against him declared; Therefore it is Considered by the Court here that the said David recover against the said James R. Pratt, his damages afs, according to the following Terms Said, towit. "Judgment is confessed in this cause for £500. Current Money Damages & Costs. To be released on the payment of £300 Curry & Int thereon from 30th. May 1798 tele &c. Costs: to be paid in the following manner towit, £100. part thereof to be paid on the 1st. September 1800. with Int on the whole sum & Costs of Suit £100. part thereof to be paid on the 1st. Sept. 1801. with Int on £200. from 1st. Sept. 1800. & £100 the residue thereof to be paid on the 1st. Sept 1802. with Int thereon from 1st Sept 1801. tele paid — and also the quantity of five hundred and fifty seven pounds of Tobacco, for his Costs & Charges by him about his suit in this behalf expended; and the said James R. Pratt in mercy &c. Ja. Harleyjnr. Clk.

Samuel Harvey & James Worth against **Richard Wethered**

Be it Remembered that the Plaintiffs, by James Houston their attorney prosecuted hence forth out of the General Court here, the writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum, directed to the Sheriff of Kent County in the following words and figures towit.

The State of Maryland &c. To the Sheriff of Kent County Greeting: We Command you that you take Richard Wethered late of Kent County, if he shall be found in your Bailiwick and him safe keep, so that you have his body before the Judges of our General Court, to be held at Easton in Talbot County, the second Tuesday of September next to answer unto Samuel Harvey and James Worth of a plea that he render unto them the sum of five thousand and seven hundred Dollars current money which to them he owes & unjustly detains &c. Hereof fail not at your peril and have you then and there this writ. Witness the Honorable Jeremiah T. Chase Esq. Chief Judge of our said Court the 9th. day of April anno Domini 1799.

Issued the 26th day of August 1799. Wm. Harleyjnr. Clk.

And now here towit, the second Tuesday of September seventeen hundred and ninety nine being the day for the return of the aforegoing writ comes into the General Court here the said Harvey & Worth by their attorney afs, and the Sheriff of the County afs to whom the said writ was in form afs directed makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed towit. "Cepi Ric. Batchelor Shff." And the said Richard Wethered being called appears in Court by James Scott his attorney: and thereupon on motion of the said Harvey & Worth by their attorney afs it is ruled by the Court here that the said Richard Wethered give special Bail in the plea afs. Whereupon a certain Abraham Woodland of Kent County comes here into Court in his own proper person, and becomes pledge & Bail for the said Richard Wethered, that if it shall happen that the said Richard Wethered shall be convicted at the suit of the said Harvey & Worth in