Judgments.

return of the aforegoing writ, comes into the General Court here the said Elizabeth Lawson, Executrix as ap. by her attorney aforesaid and the Sheriff of the County aforesaid to whom the said Writ was directed makes return thereof to the Court here, thus endorsed, to wit "Rec'd, on the 29th March 1799. before Philip Murphy and John Jones. John Carradine, Clk." And the said Henry Forten being called appears in Court here by Samuel Purnell his attorney: and thereupon on motion of the said Elizabeth Lawson by her attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Henry Forten answer to the Writ of Scire facias by the second Tuesday of September next, or Judgment by the Court here will be rendered against him in default thereof; the same day is given to the said Elizabeth Lawson also.

At which said second Tuesday of September seventeen hundred and ninety nine, comes again into the General Court here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys ap., and the said Henry Forten by his attorney ap., defends the force and injury when &c, and saith, that the said Elizabeth Lawson, execution against him for the damages, costs and charges ap., to have ought not, because he saith that the said Henry Forten, after the recovery ap. and before the issuing of the original Writ in this cause did well and truly pay to the said Alexander Lawson in his lifetime, the said damages Costs & charges in the Writ of Scire facias aforesaid mentioned, according to the force form and effect of the recovery aforesaid and this he is ready to verify, whereof he prays Judgment if the said Elizabeth Lawson execution against him for the damages, costs and charges to have ought &c. and the said Elizabeth Lawson saith that she from having her execution for the damages Costs and charges aforesaid to be precluded ought not, because she saith that the said Henry Forten did not well and truly pay to the said Alexander Lawson, the said damages costs and charges in the Writ of Scire facias aforesaid, mentioned according to the force form and effect of the recovery ap., and this she prays may be inquired of by the Country, and the said Henry Forten in like manner &c, therefore let a Jury thereupon appear before the Court here, on the second Tuesday of April next, by whom &c. & who neither and so forth to recognize and so forth, because as well and so forth, the same day is given to the parties then & there &c.

And now here, to wit, the second Tuesday of April eighteen hundred, comes again into the General Court here the parties by their attorneys aforesaid, and the said Henry Forten by his attorney aforesaid, defends the force and injury when &c, and saith, that he cannot deny the action, ap., nor that there is such Record of recovery aforesaid, nor that the said Elizabeth Lawson, ought to have her execution against him for the damages costs and charges, in the writ of Scire facias above specified.

Therefore it is considered by the Court here, that the said Elizabeth Lawson, Executrix as aforesaid have execution against the said Henry Forten, for as well the Damages costs and charges ap., in the writ of Scire facias ap. mentioned, according to the force form and effect of the recovery ap., as the quantity of six hundred and thirty nine pounds of Tobacco, to the said Elizabeth Lawson on her assent adjudged for her Costs and charges which she hath sustained by reason of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid, and the said Henry Forten in mercy &c.

Sat Earle Clerk

Memorandum. That 9th of April 1800. Payments if any to be allowed.

Robert Walters & others surviving obligees of — Solomon Clayton against Charles Frazier

Be it Remembered that the Plaintiffs by Richard Helphman Earle their attorney presented and prayed forth out of the General Court here the following Writ of Scire facias, to wit: "The State of Maryland S. To the Sheriff of Queen Ann's County, Greeting: Whereas at a General Court held at Easton, the second Tuesday of April anno domini seventeen hundred and ninety five before the honorable Judges of the same Court Robert Walters, Philip Friedenman, Samuel Wright Thomas and Richard Helphman Earle, surviving obligees of Solomon Clayton, did recover Judgment against a certain Charles Frazier, late of Queen Ann's County &c as well the sum of Four hundred pounds current money a certain Debt, as also the quantity of Six hundred and forty one pounds of Tobacco, which by consideration of the same Court, was then and there adjudged unto the said Robert, Philip, Samuel & Richard as well for their damages sustained by occasion of the detention of that debt, as for their costs and charges by them about their suit in that behalf laid out expended as by the Record and Proceeds thereof in our said Court remaining manifestly appears, And whereas the said Charles Frazier has not paid the condemnation of the Court in the plea aforesaid, nor rendered his body to the custody of the Sheriff of Queen Ann's County in satisfaction thereof as by the insinuation of the said Robert, Philip, Samuel & Richard we have received, and because we will that those things which in our said Court are rightly done and transacted should be brought to due execution, we command you that by good and lawful men of your Bailiwick you make known unto the said Charles Frazier, that he be and appear before the Judges of our said General Court to be held at Easton the second Tuesday of April next to shew cause if any he hath or knoweth why the said Robert, Philip Samuel & Richard, execution of the debt, damages & costs aforesaid against him the said Charles Frazier, according to the recovery aforesaid to have ought not, & to him it shall be said and have you there & there the names of those before whom you shall make the same known unto him & this Writ. Witness the honorable Nicholas Brewer Esq. Chief Judge of our said Court the 11th day of September anno domini 1798. Issued the 25th day of March 1799. Rc. Sat Earle Clerk."

At which said second Tuesday of April seventeen hundred and ninety nine, being the day for the return of the aforegoing writ, comes into the General Court the said Plaintiffs by Richard Helphman Earle their attorney and the Sheriff of Queen Anns County aforesaid, to whom the said Writ was in form aforesaid directed makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed, to wit "made known before Samuel Purnell & Henry Richardson April 8th 1799. Henry Forten Shff Q A County." And the said Charles Frazier being called appears in Court here by Samuel Purnell his attorney: And thereupon on motion of the said Plaintiffs by their attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said Charles Frazier answer to the Writ of Scire facias aforesaid by the second Tuesday of September next or Judgment by the Court here will be rendered against him in default thereof, the same day is given to the said Plaintiffs also. At which said second Tuesday of September seventeen hundred and ninety nine, comes again into the General Court here the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid; and the said Charles Frazier by his attorney aforesaid defends the force & injury when &c, and saith, that the said Plaintiffs execution against him for the debt, damages & costs aforesaid in the Writ of Scire facias aforesaid mentioned to have ought not, because he saith, that before the issuing of the original Scire facias in this cause he did well and truly pay to the said Plaintiffs the said debt damages costs & charges, in the said Writ specified, according to the force form & effect of the Recovery aforesaid and this he is ready to verify whereof he prays Judgment if the said