of these ideas he makes himself a political outlaw.

This is notice enough of the watery part of this communication. I turn now to the oily part — rancid — putrid — full of old venom, and slanderous falsehoods.

It begins "Under cover of defending himself from "slanderous imputations" Col. Dent favors the public with a morceau of autobiography — succinct — modest — (very) — but incomplete" Get the card, reader, from which I quote, read the remainder of it, and know its paternity, from its ear-marks.

With due delicacy, I gave my political antecedents, to prevent any possible false impressions, as to Mr Colton's "slanderous imputations" against me. I then my identification with the Democratic party in 1856 and 57 in which time he at latter year he admitted in his card of the 10th ult. that I came into the Democratic party. It will be remembered that Democratic nominees for Governor, Comptroller, and County Representatives were before the people — for all of whom I voted. I also referred to my subsequent nomination for, and election to an important position, at a critical time, by the Democratic party in '61, which was surely a recognition of my political fealty to the Democratic party — and all a sufficient refutation of the "slanderous imputations" referred to.

Dislodged from this position, "entre chien et loup", a later period '59 is assigned for the same slander. "But" says the veiled prophet, "why bridge '59? Where was Col. Dent pending the election between Hughes and Hagner? between Democracy and Knownothingism in that eventful year? Where indeed?" I answer, voting for Col. Hughes — the Democratic nominee. The veiled prophet answers "Exactly where his proclivities placed him — where his well known bitter sectarianism led him — on the side of proscription, not only of naturalized citizens, but of native Roman Catholics."

Now is not this gratuitous? maliciously gratuitous? Who but fellows steeped in duplicity, treachery and deceit themselves, would utter and promulgate such base and malignant falsehoods