but very bad crops since. Deponent cannot say what quantity of crops were made. They consisted of corn tobacco and wheat.
To the third cross-interrogatory, that she cannot say that deponent ever heard Mrs Watkins abuse or ill treat her husband Mr Watkins.
To the fourth cross interrogatory, that she does not know of any case of such opposition.
To the fifth cross interrogatory, that Mrs Watkins had no other means of support.
To the sixth cross interrogatory, that she does not know whether Mrs Watkins ever had the use of the proceeds of the crops.
To the seventh cross-interrogatory, that Mrs Watkins purchased them at the sale of her husband. John Beards property and paid for them herself.
To the eighth cross-interrogatory that deponents brother Benjamin Beard, Daniel Davis and the defendants brother Benjamin Watkins boarded there. Deponent has lived there ever since her mothers and Watkins marriage, and deponents husband Mr Black lived there about nine months. Deponent and her husband Mr Black left the farm with Mrs Watkins about a week since. Deponents brother Benjamin Beard lived there about a year. Mr Davis about eighteen months and Mr Benjamin Watkins about three years.
To the ninth cross-interrogatory, that deponent and her husband paid no board to Mrs Watkins for the time they lived there.
And thereupon the said Witness was re-examined by the complainants Solicitor, to additional interrogatories hereunto filed and returned, to which she answered.
To the first additional interrogatory, that the deponent objected to Mrs Watkins marriage with the defendant because she did not want him to marry her.



To the second additional interrogatory, that Mrs Watkins was not willing for deponent to leave her house, and that she remained there at Mrs Watkins invitation. Deponent left with her husband because she did not want to live with Mrs Watkins in the same house. Mr Watkins said he did not want us to live there and ordered us to leave. Mrs Watkins assigned as a reason for accompanying us, that she did not feel safe in the house alone.
To the third additional interrogatory, that Davis and deponents brother paid board, but Mr Benjamin Watkins did not pay board that deponent knows of.

William Black, a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the complainant being duly sworn and examined to interrogatories filed by the complainants Solicitor and returned as aforesaid deposes and says:
To the first interrogatory, that he does know the parties He has known Mrs Watkins for three years and the defendant for seven years.
To the second interrogatory, that he does know the habits and character of the defendant. His habits are very dissipated in one respect. He is very much given to intoxication. He is frequently intoxicated from home. Deponent would call him a drunkard. He is not a man of any respectability. Very few give him any countenance at all, or believe any thing that he says. Deponent cannot believe him and he has heard others people say the same. Deponent has been frequenting the house for upwards of four years and was living there for nearly nine months, and was generally there during that time at night.
To the third interrogatory, that about twelve months ago and before his (deponents) marriage he went to Mrs Watkins house. While there Mrs Watkins abused his wife very much in language. His treatment was