in the city of Annapolis, and thereupon proceeded to take the following depositions, A. B. Hagner solicitor for the Complainant, and A. Randall for the defendant being present.

Francis Brashears a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the Complainant being duly sworn and examined to special interrogatories filed by the Complainant and herewith returned answers.

To the first special interrogatory, that he knows the parties and has known them for fifteen or twenty years.

To the second special interrogatory, that he knows nothing but what Watkins himself told deponent. He has told deponent several times that he has had connexion with other women than his wife since his marriage.

To the third special interrogatory, that there have been conversations between deponent and Watkins on the subject. He remembers one that occurred at deponent's store some two or three years since perhaps more, but since his marriage with the complainant. Watkins told deponent on that occasion that he had had connexion with a woman belonging to Col. Boyle. He told deponent that he had caught the clap, to use his own words, from the woman referred to, and was taking medicine for it. That was about as much as he now remembered. Watkins further said that he had had connexion with this woman on the night that she was at deponent's store, which he remembers was some weeks, perhaps months before the conversation, but since his marriage with the Complainant. At other times he has told deponent that he has had connexion with other women since his marriage but the particulars of these conversations he cannot remember.

The same witness was then examined to the general interrogatories filed by the Complainant's solicitor as aforesaid and herewith returned, and thus answered:

To the first being waived, to the second general interrogatory, that he has known Watkins for a long time. He lives about two miles and a half from him. He supposes Watkins to be a little of a sober man, and also the reverse. The deponent is very averse to answering this question, but if required to do so, he must say, that he knows his habits tolerably well, and does not think that they are good. He would not call him a drunkard but he gets tight and is addicted to drinking. Deponent has been living in the neighborhood with Watkins and has seen him very often, ever since he can recollect.

To the third interrogatory, that he does not know what Watkins's treatment to his wife has been since their marriage.

To the fourth, that he never heard him threaten violence against her.

To the fifth, that he has managed the farm badly since his marriage. He has made corn, tobacco and wheat but does not think they have even been fair crops for the land, but cannot say what the deficiency has been. He has certainly not made as much as the land could yield if it had been properly worked. He has never in deponent's judgement made more, on an average, at least never saved more than half a crop, which has been owing to inattention. The wheat crop of last year was good, but was nearly all lost by neglect. The deponent sent over hands and helped him to harvest and to shock the wheat. There came a storm soon afterwards which blew the caps off. Watkins did not have them set on again; consequently the grain got in and damaged the grain. The cattle also pulled a good deal of it to pieces. After it was stacked the hogs got to it and destroyed a good deal.

To the sixth interrogatory, that he does not know of any other property that Watkins has except what he derived