against his orders, and had done it. Deponent always treated the property as Mrs Watkins. Sometimes Watkins went to Baltimore with the crops and on his return accounted with Mrs Watkins for the proceeds, by paying her over the money and accounting for any part that he might have used. Deponent knows that she controlled the property, and that he yielded it, so much so that he advised deponent to take the management of the property himself. One of the negroes belonging to Mrs Watkins was hired to Mr Dodson, by Mrs Watkins, and the hire payable to her, as deponent understood and as Dodson understood, because he knows that an attachment was laid in Dodson's hand for a debt due from the defendant, to which Dodson pleaded that he had no money in hand belonging to him, For this money due for said hire deponent got an order from Mrs Watkins, on which Dodson paid to deponent.

To the fifth interrogatory, that the parties were acquainted, as he believes before their marriage. Deponent, the defendant and the complainant all lived in the same neighborhood, and the defendant when a boy, during Mr Beard's lifetime, has visited at the house of Mr Watkins then Mr Beard, and continued to visit there up to the time of his marriage one or less as deponent believes.

To the sixth interrogatory, that his character is about the same as before the marriage.

To the seventh interrogatory, that he does not know of his own knowledge of the difficulty, and the cause which led to the filing of the bill in

this case. Deponent was once present when Mrs Watkins expressed her anxiety and insisted that Mr Black should come there to live. Watkins objected to this, she became excited, and that Watkins became equally determined in opposing it. The difficulty which this occurrence created between the parties, deponent believes, was the cause that led to the filing of the bill in this case.

To the eighth interrogatory, that the crops were worked about as well before as since, but he does not think they were husbanded as well after as before the marriage of the parties. The farm was not at any time worked well.

Benjamin H. Harwood a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the defendant being sworn and examined to the interrogatories filed and returned as aforesaid deposes and says:

The first interrogatory, also the second, were waived.

To the third interrogatory, that he knows the parties. He has been visiting the house where the parties lived a good deal during the past ~~years~~ year. He has seen the defendant under all circumstances kind and affectionate, but has never seen this kind of treatment returned by Mrs Watkins to him, more than once or twice. Deponent lived in the neighborhood and visited at the house frequently. He has heard Mrs Watkins abuse and quarrel with her husband the defendant, so much so, that deponent had to leave off visiting the house. He has been at the house when Mr Watkins has come home, and asked her to get him something