

244
"Liquors in the City of Baltimore". Which being read the first time was ordered to lie on the table.

The joint Committee appointed for the purpose, made Report as follows: "The joint Committee of both Branches of the City Council appointed to consider the Communication of the Mayor respecting the purchase of property near the Cove, and the mode of paying therefor, beg leave to report by Bill, and they have the honor to submit one to your Consideration," Signed John Hollins, John Campbell White, James M. C. G. Woodyear, James W. McCulloch.

Which Report having been read, the following Bill was received from the second Branch. Viz:

"A Bill entitled An Ordinance for the Creation of Six per Cent Stock of the City of Baltimore" Which being read it was moved to amend the same by adding the words following—After the Word "thereon" in the second Section of the Law, insert "At the times hereinafter mentioned" Which amendment being agreed to by the Branch, the Bill was read a second time and put upon its passage, when the yeas and nays being required, appeared as follows. Affirmatives Messrs. Berry, Leving, Mosher, McCulloch, Patterson, Wilson, Winstanley, Woodyear, Ross, Young, Lawrence, Sheppard and Mezick, 13.

Negative Messrs. Ross, 1. So it was determined in the affirmative and the Bill, together with the amendment, returned to the 2^d Branch.

The Bill entitled "A further additional Supplement to the Ordinance entitled, An Ordinance to regulate the Public Wharves, and for the Collection of Certain Rates thereon"—and also the Bill entitled,

"An Ordinance for the benefit of Dugans and O'Donnells Tobacco Inspection Warehouses" Were on motion severally read a second time, passed and sent to the second Branch for their concurrence.

The Bill entitled "A further Supplement to the Ordinance entitled "An Ordinance for licensing and regulating Auctions within the City of Baltimore and Precincts thereof" was returned from the second Branch, endorsed will pass with the proposed Amendment. Viz: Strike out the words "lands, lots of ground, tenements" in the

245
"and 10th lines of the first enacting Clause" which amendment on being read was rejected. And on motion therefor the following message was sent to the 2^d Branch. Viz:

Gentlemen of the 2^d Branch

This Branch declines concurring in the amendment of your Branch to the Bill entitled "A further additional Supplement to the Ordinance entitled An Ordinance for licensing and regulating Auctions within the City of Baltimore and Precincts thereof" and proposes with your concurrence a conference on the subject, & have appointed Messrs. Woodyear & Wilson conferees on the part of this Branch. By Order &c.

The joint Committee to whom was referred so much of the Mayors late Communication relating to the Ordinances passed at the last session for the pavement of Wilks Street, County Street, Washington Street, Castle Alley and Dulaney Street, submitted the following Report. Viz:

"That they have examined the several grants obtained by the holders of property for the extension of the same into the water, between Washington & County Street. That from the positive denial of Mr. James Biays ever having improved under an Ordinance of the Corporation passed the 17th March 1808, but ^{that} he extended said property under a grant of the old Port Wardens to John O'Donnell Esquire, as will appear by their Records dated 7 November 1796; Your Committee are at a loss to say whether Castle Alley is open to the water or not, but your Committee are of opinion, even should Castle Alley (by any Act heretofore of the proprietors) be considered as open into the water—it would be better to turn the water coming down said alley, for directly in front of said alley is the deepest water in the Cove—Your Committee feel confident that when the abutments are made in the Ravine North of Wilks Street, so as to turn part of the Water that now runs down Washington Street into County Street, and when the Piers are constructed at the ends of said streets, it will tend in a great measure to preserve the harbour—

Your Committee are also of opinion that the paving of Dulaney Street cannot be dispensed with, in its present state from the end of the Turnpike to Bond Street, being cut up by large Gulleys