laws from necessity and those who do it for fraudulent purposes, and believing, also, that the discrimination can, as the cases arise, be better made by the Mayor, who has the power of removal, we consider it unnecessary to legislate upon the subject.

We therefore recommend the adoption of the following resolution.

Samuel Barker.
Joseph Breck
Committee of the First Branch.
William Baker
Pat Easender
Committee of the Second Branch.

Resolved, That the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the subject.

The report and resolution from the joint committee on claims on the petition of George W. Williamson, were received from the Second Branch, read a first and by special order the second time and "concurred in".

The Second Branch returned Bill No 26. entitled "An ordinance providing for the opening of Eager Street" endorsed "concurred in".

Mr Breck offered the following resolution which was read and adopted.

Resolved, That the committee on health be requested to enquire whether Little Pratt Street is not in such a condition as to authorize the Mayor and City Council to order the same to be paved agreeably to the provisions of the Act of the General Assembly of Maryland, chap 183. Section 7. passed March 13. 1828.

Mr Breck offered the following resolution, which was read and adopted.

Resolved, That the committee on education be requested to institute an enquiry as to whether a portion of the estate of the estate of the late Josiah Hall, who died in 1832, does not, under the laws of the State, devolve in support of the public Schools of the City of Baltimore.

Mr Breck from the committee, submitted the following report, which was read a first and by special order the second time.

The joint committee on streets, to whom was referred the petition of Thomas Kelso and others property holders in that part of Potter

Potter Street, between Gay and French streets, praying that that portion of Potter Street may be named Chestnut Street, beg leave to report: That they conceive the prayer of the petitioners just and reasonable, and that it ought to be granted, — they therefore submit the annexed bill.

Joseph Breck
Cyrus Gault
Samuel Barker
Committee of the First Branch
William Baker
John E. Stansbury
Wm J. Wight
Committee of the Second Branch.

Mr Cooper moved to lay the bill on the table, which was determined in the negative by the following vote, the yeas and nays being called for by Mr Walsh.

Yeas. — Messrs Cooper, Crosney, Fields, Kennedy, Reilly, Russell Jr, Gault, Suter and Barnet — 9.

Nays. — Messrs President Aynes, Walsh, Breck, Turner, Naylor, Brown, Page, Browning, Addison and Green — 11.

Mr Walsh moved the previous question.

The question being "Shall the main question be now put", it was determined in the affirmative, and the call of the previous question sustained.

The question being on the passage of the bill, as reported by the committee, it was passed by the following vote, — the yeas and nays being called for by Mr Fields.

Yeas. — Messrs President, Cooper, Aynes, Walsh, Breck, Turner, Naylor, Brown, Page, Browning, Addison, Russell Jr, and Suter — 13.

Nays. — Messrs Crosney, Fields, Kennedy, Reilly, Green, Gault and Barnet — 7.

The following communication was received from the Mayor and read.

Mayor's Office
February 27, 1845

Gentlemen of the first Branch of the City Council!

J.