under consideration, and believing that there is already ample provision upon the subject, provided the existing laws and ordinances are duly enforced, deem it unnecessary to legislate further in relation to it. We therefore recommend the adoption of the following resolution

Samuel Harker
Joseph Breck
James Fields
Committee of the First Branch.
Samuel Barnes
James Essender
William Baker
Committee of the Second Branch.

Resolved, That the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the subject.

Mr Breck called up bill No 11. entitled "A supplement to an ordinance to provide for the prompt collection of taxes, by the sale of real estate."

On motion of Mr Breck, the following proposed amendments were "concurred in":

In second section, ninth line, strike out the words "barely as nearly as practicable".

In first section, eleventh line, after the word "said", insert the words "owners or"

In second section, fifth line, strike out the word "the" and insert in lieu the word "that."

In Second Section, sixth line, strike out the word "sufficiency" and insert in lieu "sufficient sum".

On motion of Mr Turner, the following proposed amendment was "nonconcurred in"

In first section, thirteenth line, strike out all after the word "inserted", and insert in lieu, "once in each of four successive weeks, in each of the daily newspapers of the city, in such manner as not to appear on the same day in any two or more newspapers; anything in the ordinance to which this is a supplement, to the contrary notwithstanding — provided, that the cost of advertising in no paper, shall exceed the charge made by the Baltimore American.

On

On motion of Mr Brown, the proposed third section was "concurred in"

Section 3. And be it enacted and ordained, that whenever, after, after the sale of a lot or lots, for the payment of taxes, it shall be ascertained that the said or lots have been twice assessed, the City Register be and he is hereby authorised and required, with the approbation of the Mayor, upon the presentation of evidence satisfactory to their minds, that such is the fact, to refund to the purchaser or purchasers, the amount of their purchase money previously paid to him.

The report of the joint committee on health, relative to Little Ross Street, was received from the Second Branch, endorsed "concurred in with a proposed amendment."

Amendment proposed: Strike out all after the word "authorised", in the resolution, and insert in lieu, "to pave the north side, or one half of Little Ross Street, between Howard and Eutaw Streets, upon the application of all the owners of lots fronting on said north side, said owners paying all the cost and expense of said paving".

Mr Brown moved that we "non concur", which was determined in the affirmative.

The following communication was received from the Register, which was read and referred to the joint committee on streets

City Register's Office
Baltimore March 19. 1845

Gentlemen of the First Branch of the City Council:

In compliance with the resolution of your branch, I herewith return "the remonstrances of Margaret Parr and others, against the opening of Eden Lane."

Very Respectfully
Jesse Hunt, Register

On motion of Mr Hudson, Messrs Hudson and Walsh were appointed a committee to invite the Second Branch to meet this Branch in convention.

The two Branches met in convention, and after setting a short time therein, seperated.

On motion of Mr Brown, the branch adjourned until

tomorrow