advertise for proposals for the erection of an arch over Chatsworth run at Eiselin street, similar in all respects to the arch lately erected over said run at Columbia and Fremont streets, and draw on the Register for the sum of one hundred and twenty five dollars, or as much thereof as may be necessary to complete the same, to be taken out of any unappropriated money in the treasury; provided, however, that said arch shall not be contracted for and built, until the proprietor of the ground fronting and binding on Fremont street, between Eiselin street and the tunnel lately erected by the city, shall agree to have the run passing over his grounds, tunneled at the same time, and done in accordance with the city work.

Mr. Davis, chairman of the same committee, submitted the following report, which was read, and laid on the table:

The joint committee on highways and bridges to whom was referred the memorial of Michael Warner, Francis Burns, and others, setting forth the bad condition of the Washington Turnpike, which is situated within the city of Baltimore, beg leave to report, that they find upon examination that the road is in a very bad condition, and that the representations made in the memorial are fully borne out by the facts of the case.

Your committee find that the great cause of difficulty between your petitioners and the president and managers of the Turnpike Road Company, is, that a large proportion of the bricks manufactured in the city, is transported over this highway (in the winter and spring of the year more particularly, as at these times the common roads are impassable.) The Turnpike Company allege that it is owing exclusively to this heavy transportation over the road that it is kept in such bad condition; a transportation which yields them no revenue whatever, but is solely for the benefit of the corporation of Baltimore, and its citizens.

We are of opinion, that in view of the peculiar grievances under which your memorialists labor, that the Mayor and City Council should make an appropriation to aid in keeping said road in repair, and beg leave to ask the passage of the accompanying resolution.

Geo. A. Davis	First Branch	David Taylor	Second Branch.
N. T. Dushane		Joseph J. Stewart	
Geo. A. Lovering		A. E. Warner	

Resolved by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore that the city commissioner be and he is hereby directed to have the Washington Turnpike put in good repair from its intersection with Columbia street to a point opposite Daniel Ledley's brick yard, and draw on the Register for the sum of four hundred dollars, or as much thereof as may be necessary to complete the same to be taken from the tax collected for the repairs of highways and bridges.

Mr. Davis, chairman of the same committee, submitted the following report, which was read, and laid on the table:

The joint committee on highways and bridges to whom was referred the petition of George Reppard, asking to have certain piles of earth removed from the bed of Montgomery street, beg leave to report that they find upon examination that there is no obstruction to the public travel, and ask the passage of the accompanying resolution:

Geo. A. Davis	First Branch.	David Taylor	Second Branch.
N. T. Dushane		Joseph J. Stewart	
Geo. A. Lovering		A. E. Warner	

Resolved that the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the subject.

Mr. Lovering, chairman of the committee on markets, submitted the following report, which was read, and laid on the table:

The joint committee on markets to whom was referred a resolution of inquiry as to the expediency of offering for sale certain butchers' stalls in the Hanover market house, respectfully report, that upon examination, they find that two new butchers' stalls were erected by the city commissioner in the north wing of said market house and occupy about one half of the central entrance. By this erection, the interests of the owners of the two immediately adjoining stalls are materially injured, inasmuch as what were purchased from the city as corner stalls, no longer retain the advantages and consequent value, in consideration for which, a higher price was originally paid for them. Similar cases have heretofore occurred in this and other market houses, and in which, justice was done the owners of the old stalls (see resolution No. 34 of 1843,) by offering to them an exchange of said stalls for those newly erected, a proceeding which is recommended to be adopted in the present instance.