same in such manner as to become offensive to or annoy any neighbor or other person, the person or persons so keeping his or her hog or hogs, cow or cows, horse or horses as aforesaid."

The amendment was adopted by the following vote, the yeas and nays being required by Mr. Cooper:

Yeas – Messrs. President, Horney, Suter, Green, Lovering, Shaffner, Quinlin, Grafton, Davis, Disney, Leloup, Shipley, Dushane – 13. Nays – Messrs. Cooper, Glass, Gilmore, Dobler, Carpenter, Hoge – 6.

On motion of Mr. Shipley, sec. 41 was amended by striking out the words "south of Foundry" in the 5th. line, and inserting "east of Charles".

On motion of Mr. Dushane the same section was amended, by inserting after the word "garden" in the 4th. line, the words "within the limits of the city"

On motion of Mr. Leloup, sec. 46 was amended by inserting at the end thereof the words "after notification thereof by the commissioner of health."

The bill, as amended, being put upon its passage, was passed.

The Second Branch returned the resolution in relation to claims attending the burial of Mrs. Emma Eckel, endorsed concurred in.

The following communication was received from the Mayor, read, and laid on the table:

Mayor's Office,
Baltimore March 29. 1850.

To the Members of the First and Second
Branches of the City Council –

Gentlemen –

I herewith enclose a communication from the counsellor of the city, relative to the affirmation by the court of appeals of Maryland, of the order of the chancellor, dissolving the injunction granted by him in the matter of opening Orchard street, for your consideration.

Respectfully,
Elijah Stansbury, Mayor.

To the Hon. Elijah Stansbury, Mayor:

Dear Sir;

The Court of Appeals of Maryland have at this term affirmed the order of the Chancellor dissolving the injunction previously granted by him in the matter of opening Orchard street.

Under the ordinance No. 9 of 1847, the commissioners for opening streets proceeded in the fulfilment of their duty to assess the damages and benefits caused by the opening of said street. The parties opposed to the opening filed an appeal against the assessment of the commissioners. The city court set aside the proceedings and ordered a jury to be summoned to review and award damages and benefits. The result of their inquisition was similar with that of the commissioners, except in slight alterations. This verdict the court approved, and the papers were returned to the Register. Subsequently, upon a bill of complaint being filed, the chancellor ordered an injunction. During last summer this case was heard, and the injunction upon the hearing dissolved. From this order the parties complainant appealed. The result of this appeal as before stated, is the affirmance of the chancellor's order. There is no longer any legal impediment to the opening of the street, and the commissioners will proceed to carry out the ordinance. Having had occasion to try in the several courts of the State, the validity of the ordinance No. 59 of 1846, in relation to the opening of streets, in which its various provisions have undergone review, and been submitted to the scrutiny of the courts and attacks of eminent counsel, I cannot but think that as in every instance, and they have been frequent, the courts have upheld the power of the city as carried into effect by said ordinance, that it would be very inexpedient to change in any respect the terms and conditions of said ordinance.

Very respectfully, B. C. Pepleman
Counsellor for the City of Balte

Mr. Hoge presented a petition from John Ellicott and others, asking that the width of Ramsey street may be reduced to fifty feet, which was read and referred to the joint committee on highways and bridges.

On motion of Mr. Dushane the branch adjourned until Monday afternoon next at five o'clock.

By order, Wm. A. Stewart, Clerk.

Appropriations,

To March 22nd	$17.350.89
John Higham	468.00
Mrs. Eckel's funeral	44.91
To this date	$17.863.80