Saturday, April 10, 1852.

The Board met pursuant to adjournment.

Present – John S Brown, Esq., President, and all the members, except Messrs. Thomas, Sewksbury and Griffith.

Mr Mules presented the petition of Wm S Thomas and others, asking the passage of an ordinance limiting the time for the opening of Parrish alley, which was read and referred to the joint committee on highways and bridges.

Mr Dushane presented the petition of Wm A Moale and others, asking the passage of an ordinance limiting the time for the opening of West Oliver Street, between Decker and Charles Streets, which was read and referred to the joint committee on highways and bridges.

Mr Dushane, chairman of the joint committee on highways and bridges, presented the following report and an accompanying ordinance, which were read and laid on the table:

The joint committee on highways and bridges, to whom was referred the petition of Thomas B Sargent, James F Purvis, and others, in relation to the closing of Fort alley, from McElderry to Jefferson Street, have examined the subject, and find that the alley in question runs through the burying ground of the North Baltimore Station of the Methodist Episcopal Church, and may not be of any particular inconvenience to the property in the neighborhood, and unless those interested may desire to have it opened, we think it might be closed, and in order to give those interested an opportunity to have it opened if they should desire it, we have determined to offer the accompanying ordinance.

N J Dushane, Jno F Davis, Wm H Shelley, } First Branch. Geo A Davis, Geo A Lovering, Wm J Page, } Second Branch.

Mr Dushane, chairman of the joint committee on highways and bridges, presented the following report and an accompanying ordinance, which were read and laid on the table:

The joint committee on highways and bridges, to whom has been referred the petition of Christian Keener and others, making application to have Hoffman, Biddle, Chase and John Streets opened, and the petition of Geo R Vickers, adverse thereto, report that they have examined the subject, and are of the opinion that the streets named above should be opened, they being in a rapidly improving

section of the city; your committee are also of the opinion, that unless there should be some exceedingly strong reason or cause why the streets, as laid down on the plat of the city, should not be opened, that as a general rule the permission should be granted upon the application of the parties interested, whenever there is a probability that when so opened they will soon become built up to the great advantage of the city treasury and convenience of the public. The growth of some sections of the city is no doubt retarded, and will continue to be retarded, by a contrary policy. We therefore offer the following ordinance.

N J Dushane, John F Davis, Wm H Shelley, } First Branch. Geo A Lovering, Wm J Page, } Second Branch.

Mr Dukehart called up the resolution attached to the report of the committee on claims, authorising the refunding of certain taxes to Jacob Ehrman, which was read and concurred in.

Mr Dushane called up bill No 28, entitled "An ordinance to open and condemn McElderry Street, from Disquith Street to the Bel Air Market Space," which was read and passed, and sent to the Second Branch.

Mr Dushane called up bill No 34, entitled "An ordinance to change the name of Canal Street to Central avenue," which was read and passed, and sent to the Second Branch.

Mr Morris called up the resolution attached to the report of the joint committee on education, authorising an appropriation for furnishing the Eastern Female High School, which was read.

Mr Morris moved to fill the blank in the second line, with the words "Sixteen hundred", which was determined in the affirmative.

The resolution, as amended, was adopted, and sent to the Second Branch.

Mr Dukehart, chairman of the joint committee on police and jail, presented the following report and an accompanying ordinance, which were read and laid on the table:

The joint committee on police and jail, to whom was referred the resolution of inquiry as to the propriety of prohibiting the wearing a badge of a police officer duly appointed so to do, respectfully report, that they have had the subject under consideration, and