The First Branch returned the resolution relative to the police and fire alarm telegraph, indorsed concurred in.

Mr. Sullivan, from the joint standing committee on highways, submitted the following report and resolution, which were read and laid on the table.

The joint standing committee on highways, to whom was referred the communication of the City Register in reply to a resolution of enquiry of the Second Branch, asking for information as to what laws have been passed in view to the widening of a portion of Light street, have considered the same, and respectfully report that your committee, with the assistance of the Register and City Counsellor examined all the laws that can be found upon the subject, and are of opinion that nothing contained in any of them would authorize the Mayor and City Council to take any action in the matter at this time. Your committee have learned that a notice of application for the widening of Light street, has been inserted in the daily newspaper, in accordance with the law of 1833 but the time will not expire until over about the 27th of the present month. Under these circumstances, your committee beg leave to submit the following resolution.

Robert Sullivan,
L. Beirbower } Second Branch
Edward Hervey

Daniel Harvey
Silas Beacham } First Branch
Amos McCormas

Resolved. That the further consideration of the subject be postponed until the September session of the Council.

The following message was received from the First Branch

In First Branch
Baltimore. June 10. 1858.

Gentlemen of the Second Branch:

We respectfully ask you to reconsider your vote on ordinance No. 62. to widen and open Holliday street to Exchange Place and pass the same. We suggest that the interests of the union, and the great public importance of the measure justifies us without invading the privilege of the Second Branch, in respectfully and earnestly requesting a reconsideration.

By order.
Richard R. Battee. Clerk.

The Branch declined to concur in the request contained in the message.

Mr. Kirk called up the resolution relative to placing gates at Conway street, which was read.

Mr. Simms moved to amend the resolution by adding thereto the following, which was determined in the affirmative.

Resolved. That should the said company close the said gates at any other period than at the arrival or departure of trains, they shall be liable to a fine of twenty dollars for each and every offence.

Mr. Heintze moved to lay the resolution on the table which was determined in the negative by the following vote. the yeas and nays being required by Mr. Simms

Yeas – Messrs. Hervey and Heintze – 2.

Nays – Messrs. President, Herring, Kirk, Gudan, Helms Simms, Beirbower and Sullivan – 8.

The resolution, as amended, was rejected

The following message was received from the First Branch

In First Branch
Baltimore. June 10. 1858.

Gentlemen of the Second Branch:

We respectfully ask the appointment of a joint committee of conference on the "Ordinance to widen Holliday street, between Baltimore and Fayette streets, and to open a street in continuation of Holliday street, from the south side of Baltimore street to Exchange Place, and